

THE DEWEESE REPORT

WWW.FREEDOM21.COM

BARACK OBAMA'S SUICIDE MISSION TO COPENHAGEN

By Tom DeWeese

For more than fifteen years I have been studying and reporting on international United Nation conferences, treaties, and policies, warning that they are a road map to global governance and eventual UN global government.

In addition, I have warned that the international environmental movement is not really concerned about protecting the environment at all – rather it is using mother earth as an excuse for an age-old drive for power and wealth.

For those same fifteen years my warnings have fallen on deaf ears in Congress, in state houses and in city councils across the nation. Instead, I and others like me, have been labeled radical fringe and conspiracy nuts. As a consequence, I have been ignored by much of the media, dropped from major nation radio and television news shows that once invited me as a guest on a regular basis. Letters, petitions and meeting requests on Capitol Hill are ignored.

Vindication comes in surprising ways sometimes. Case in point, on October 27, 2009, *The Washington Times*, DC's "conservative" newspaper ran an editorial entitled: "Green World Government, the UN uses environmentalism to seize control." Readers of *The DeWeese Report*, would not have found the *Times* revelation to be news.

What is important is that after my warnings have been one of the lone voices in the wilderness, some in the media are beginning to see the threat – just as it is about to be jammed down their throats. The fact is, the warnings I've been sounding are now transformed into urgent alarm bells.

The *Times* editorial was about the coming UN Copenhagen Climate Treaty and it contains just about every threat to our nation's economy and sovereignty to vindicate my fifteen years of forced isolation. All the players and issues are here to enforce global control over our nation and our personal lives. The UN; World Wildlife Fund, Greenpeace; global warming; Sustainable Development; and attempts by the UN to enforce global taxes. I have issued articles on every one of those.

And there is the new player in the game – Barack Obama. He of course, is the reason why this new Treaty is causing such a stir and why even some main-stream media is beginning to pick up on the danger. Obama is just nuts enough to actually sign a document that would make our nation subservient to UN schemes of global control. In fact, that is exactly what he intends to do.

And here are some of the details of what Obama would be signing – essentially committing the United States to comply.

First, The Copenhagen Climate Change Treaty will enforce dire

IN THIS ISSUE:

3. RESISTANCE TO REASON:
Global Warming Advocates Getting Desperate
5. ABSOLUTES:
Coordination Defeats Trans-Texas Corridor and TxDot; Why Drug Companies are Working to Control Natural Supplements; From the Internet - Items of Interest
10. INSIDERS REPORT:
Get Out of Our House (GOOOH)
12. SPOTLIGHT ON TYRANNY:
How They are Turning Off the Lights in America

restrictions on all humankind. One provision called the “Facility” will be used to “reorder society” to change the structure of civilization, making the environment the ruling principle. The Facility is designed to bring together a massive number of “fragmented” environmental organizations and existing regulating structures, so they can all work together. In other words, any action taken by mankind will be regulated – because anything we do has some impact on the environment.

Energy, of course, will be the prime target. The policy won’t be to find more – it will be to cut back on its use. Homes will not be warmed or cooled. Cars will not be driven. And manufacturing will be sharply curtailed – at least in the “developed” countries. The price of everything manufactured by using energy (EVERYTHING) from toothpaste to food will skyrocket. Shortages will abound.

Most of all, however, the Copenhagen Climate Change Treaty is a vicious global indictment of developed industrial nations. Its pretense is that Third World nations are suffering economically because of their carbon emissions, which have changed the environment, thereby causing them economic and social loss.

To compensate these poor victims of unbridled capitalism, the Treaty calls for compensation from the big, bad developed nations (the United States), in the form of at least 0.7% of annual Gross Domestic Product (GDP) from each nation. That adds up to about \$800 billion over five years, with additional funding requirements assessed on an “as needed” basis. However, the Treaty language is not yet complete and there is talk of making that figure as much as 2% of the GDP, which is roughly half of our nation’s total defense budget.

To collect these taxes, the treaty will

establish a new governing body called the Conference of the Parties (COP), which will be given ultimate authority over the administration and enforcement of the treaty provisions. For additional resources, COP will have the ability to tax aviation and shipping. But, in the small print, things get worse. It says COP’s taxing authority “is not limited to” the above. That means they can tax anything that moves.

Again, what’s this money supposed to be used for? Oh yes, compensating those poor Third World nations. The UN calls it a “carbon debt” that the developed nations owe to the rest of the world. This is to be a punishment on the developed nations because they refused to abide by previous UN efforts, such as the Kyoto Climate Change Accord which called for reducing energy use by as much as 30%, and thus would destroy the economies of entire nations. Shame on us for not playing along.

The actual language of the treaty says: *“The adverse effects of climate change and response measures, due to the historical cumulative GHG emissions of developed countries, constitute an additional burden on all developing country Parties (particularly low-lying coastal, arid and semi-arid areas or areas liable to floods, drought and desertification, and developing countries with fragile mountainous ecosystems) in reducing poverty, developing strategies to address social vulnerabilities and attaining sustainable development and a threat to achieving the United Nations Millennium Goals.”*

There it is. We are to be punished for refusing to destroy ourselves. And why were we supposed to do that? Because of global warming. It doesn’t matter that scientists from around the world, including leading UN climate scientists now report that there (Cont’d on Page 4)

THE DEWEESE REPORT

Vol. 15, No. 11/12 Nov/Dec 2009

Published by
Freedom21 Communications, LLC

Editor
Tom DeWeese

Copy Editor
Virginia DeWeese

Correspondence/Fulfillment
Sascha McGuckin
Carolyn DeWeese

Graphics/Layout
Kristy Hook

The DeWeese Report
70 Main Street, Suite 23
Warrenton, VA 20186

Phone: (540) 341-8910
Fax: (540) 341-8916

Web Page:
www.freedom21.com

© 2009 Freedom21
Communications, LLC
ISSN 1086-7937
All Rights Reserved

Permission to photocopy, reprint and quote articles from The DeWeese Report is hereby granted, provided full acknowledgment is included. All reprinted articles must say: “Written by Tom DeWeese, editor of The DeWeese Report (unless another author is listed). All reprints must carry The DeWeese Report address and phone number. Samples of the reprint must be provided to The DeWeese Report.



RESISTANCE TO REASON

REVEALING FACTS OF THOSE WHO HAVE DECLARED WAR ON LOGIC



Global Warming Advocates Getting Desperate

The science is becoming obvious to even the most casual observer that charges of man-made global warming and climate change are little more than scare mongering. Since the fear of climate change is absolutely essential to their drive to pass Cap and Trade legislation and ratify the Copenhagen Climate Treaty, advocates are getting desperate to cut off any debate on the issue.

Case in point: A recent Associated Press (AP) article by Seth Borenstein, entitled, “Global cooling claim not supported.” The article is a desperate attempt to debunk the findings by hundreds of scientists from around the world, whose research has actually shown a cooling trend. Of course Borenstein didn’t consult scientists to try to counter the claims of cooling. Instead, the AP conducted a “blind test,” giving temperature data to four “independent” statisticians and asked them to look for trends.

Here’s their big finding to answer the greatest debate in human history:

“If you look at the data and sort of cherry-pick a mico-trend within a bigger trend, that technique is particularly suspect.” -- John Grego, professor of statistics, University of South Carolina

Here is just a minor sampling of what real scientist are finding world wide:

Warming fears are “the worst scientific scandal in the history...When people come to know what the truth is, they will feel deceived by science and scientists.” -- UN IPCC Japanese Scientist Dr. Kiminori, an award-winning PhD environmental physical chemist

“Temperature measurements show that the [climate model-predicted mid-troposphere] hot zone is non-existent. That is more than sufficient to invalidate global climate models and projections made with them.” -- UN IPCC Scientist Dr. Steven M. Japar, a PhD atmospheric chemist who was part of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change’s second and third Assessment Reports and has authored 83 peer-reviewed publications in related areas

“Nature, not man, is responsible for global warming.” -- From a peer-reviewed study in the July 23, 2009 edition of *Journal of Geophysical Research*, authored by Chris de Freitas, climate scientist, University of Auckland in New Zealand; John McLean (Melbourne); and Bob Carter (James Cook University)

“When climate models failed to retrospectively produce the temperatures since 1950 the modelers added some estimated influences of carbon dioxide to make up the shortfall.” -- Ibid

“Nobody can do science by committee. You do science by testing.” -- Dennis Avery, Director for the Center of Global Food Issues, Hudson Institute

And of course, there is the expertise of Barack Obama to consider:

“We have to get used to the idea that we can’t keep our houses at 72, drive our SUVs and eat all we want.” -- Barack Obama, outlining the results of his true agenda

OBAMA... *(Cont'd from pg 2)*

is absolutely no evidence of man-made global warming. In fact, more and more scientists are reporting an actual cooling taking place. There is no nation drowning under rising oceans. There are no floods or hurricanes consuming nations.

Droughts and desertification in most cases is natural. The only man-made desertification taking place in the world is being caused by bad government policy. The worse example of desertification is taking place in the middle of California in one of the previously most productive agriculture areas in the world. Today, *because* of environmental protection policy, farmers have had their water cut off to save a one inch long fish. Will treaty money be sent to those farmers to pay them back for such policies? Of course not.

It doesn't matter that science shows that CO2 is not a pollutant but a valuable natural substance necessary for the existence of life on earth.

Above all it doesn't matter that the largest emitters of CO2 are China, India, and Brazil, and they are all exempt from the treaty's massive restrictions on emissions and energy use. How, then, does such

a treaty pretend to have anything to do with helping the environment? In truth it doesn't, and every party involved, from the environmental groups to Barack Obama, knows that this treaty is designed to do one thing- redistribute wealth away from the developed nations to nations that want to suck the life blood out of the United States.

Nations like those in Africa are poor, not because of pollution, or lack of resources or even lack of education. They are poor for one reason -- bad government. Those that refuse to allow their people to own property and build their own wealth and dreams. Oppressive governments that confiscate the results of the labor of their people. Governments like Robert Mugabe's in Zimbabwe, who took the breadbasket of Africa and turned it into a desert. And those same governments intend to be first in line to gather their share of the booty from the treaty. Will their people be better off? No. Will the environment be better off? No. Will the United States be better off after Obama signs this monster? No.

So who benefits? The United Nations finally gets its global government. The environmental groups finally get their power inside

the elite. The totalitarian dictators of the poor, undeveloped nations get their Swiss bank accounts enlarged and all the trappings of wealth that go with it.

Will Barack Obama sign the Copenhagen Climate Change Treaty? Insider reports indicate that there are two things that could keep him from making the trip. First, if the language isn't finished by the December meeting deadline he says he won't go. Second, if the U.S. Congress has not yet passed the Cap and Trade fiasco (the domestic version of the treaty) he says he won't go. One thing is very clear. If Barack Obama signs the Copenhagen Climate Change Treaty he will be committing national suicide.

Americans must not ignore this threat. They must not sleep while Obama and his radicals drive us to destruction. Elected officials and the news media can no longer dismiss these threats as silly conspiracy theories. The time is now to let every elected representative in the Congress know that we will not tolerate Cap and Trade or the Climate Change Treaty. Allow either to become law of the land and stand back and watch the lights go out on the shining city on the hill. 

LORD MONCKTON'S WARNING TO AMERICA

“So, thank you, America. You were the beacon of freedom in the world. It is a privilege merely to stand on this soil of freedom while it is still free. But, in the next few weeks, unless you stop it, your president will sign your freedom, your democracy, and your humanity away forever. And neither you nor any subsequent government you may elect will have any power whatsoever to take it back. That is how serious it is. I've read the treaty. I've seen this stuff about (world) government and climate debt and enforcement. They are going to do this to you whether you like it or not.

But, I think it is here, here in your great nation, which I so love and I so admire – it is here that perhaps, at this eleventh hour, at the fifty-ninth minute and fifty-ninth second, you will rise up and you will stop your president from signing that dreadful treaty, that purposeless treaty. For there is no problem with climate and, even if there were, an economic treaty does nothing to (help) it.” ----- *Lord Christopher Monckton, former science advisor to British Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher before the Minnesota Free Market Institute, October 14, 2009*

ABSOLUTES....!

Coordination Defeats Trans-Texas Corridor and TxDot

By Tom DeWeese

Holland, Texas – Five local Texas mayors took a stand 27 months ago and formed the state’s first sub-regional planning commission to stand up against, and stop once and for all, Governor Rick Perry’s massive land grab known as the Trans-Texas Corridor. No one thought they could.

On October 7, 2009, the Texas Department of Transportation and the governor announced that the state of Texas has officially killed the project by selecting the “No Build” option under the environmental impact statement study.

In short, the TTC was defeated by local communities using a little known provision called “coordination” which places local governments on a level of working government to government with federal and state agencies. It forces federal and state agencies to make their policies and management activities consistent with local plans.

Here’s how it happened:

In August of 2007, four unpaid mayors and their school districts in eastern Bell County, Texas formed the Eastern Central Texas Sub-Regional Planning Commission (ECTSRPC). Once organized, they stepped forward and asserted their coordination authority for the first time in Texas history. Since the TTC was going through their jurisdictions, these locally elected officials have a right to an equal seat at the table to represent their community’s interest. It’s a little-known provision called “Coordination.”

On October 22, 2007 the ECTSRPC demanded an equal seat at the table with TXDOT and held their first meeting with the state agency on the I-35 super corridor. It was during this meeting that the environmental study director informed them the Final Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) would be submitted to the Federal Highway Administration (FHA) by January, 2008. The next step would be condemnation of the 146 acres per square mile needed to build the super highway.

The newly formed ECTSRPC went to work laying out, in government-to-government meetings, the flaws in TXDOT’s study and violations of law being committed by the agency.

They met two more times with the transportation agency, filing two lengthy petitions with the Federal Highway Administration, the latest one being sent in June, 2009, calling on the FHA to reject the study in its entirety. The ECTSRPC set forth 27 pages of violations of federal law being carried out by FHA’s agent – TXDOT – that no one had pointed out before.

TXDOT and FHA have been in discussion on the final DEIS for most of this year. It is believed that it was this final petition from the ECTSRPC that prompted the “no-build” decision.

“Believe me, it wasn’t what they wanted to do, it’s what we forced them to do,” stated Mae Smith, Mayor of Holland, Texas and president of the ECTSRPC. TXDOT came to Holland on three different occasions and were pummeled with demands to explain why the agency was going to destroy five towns and their school districts with a 1200 foot-wide, 146 acres per mile toll road.

“Through coordination, we forced them to our table and then we used the federal NEPA (National Environmental Policy Act) statute to box them in a legal corner out of which they could not escape,” stated *(Cont’d on Page 7)*

ABSOLUTES....!

Why Drug Companies are Working to Control Natural Supplements

By Tom DeWeese

As the battle over government-controlled health care continues, many Americans simply want out of the whole mess. They instead seek a plan of wellness based on healthy eating and natural supplements.

That approach, however, is the opposite of the health care agenda of the American Medical Association and the Pharmaceutical industry. Those forces, which literally control America's health industry, are based on sickness. The sicker you are, the more drugs you will buy.

In addition, insurance companies, working hand in hand with the AMA and the drug companies, refuse to provide coverage for the wellness approach. Most won't pay for natural supplements nor will they pay for visits to homeopaths or chiropractors. The only thing most Americans can do when sick (and unable to afford to pay for natural treatment out of their pockets) is to stick with the big pharma/AMA game plan. In short, it is cheaper to be sick than to try to stay well.

However, in spite of a stacked deck in favor of the sickness agenda, more Americans are going for wellness and the natural supplement industry is growing. In response, and to preserve their dominance over health care, big pharma is lobbying hard to get the Food and Drug Administration to make many natural supplements available only by prescriptions issued by AMA licensed doctors. In other efforts, they seek to drastically reduce the dosage of natural supplements sold over the counter, making them useless. Again, only a prescription would allow a workable dosage.

As the drug industry works to get the FDA to take control of supplements here in this nation, there is also an international body working to make such regulations world-wide. Its name is CODEX (the European Food Supplements Directive).

For a full insight into the diabolical minds of the drug companies, according to Mike Adams, Editor of *Natural News*, here are ten reasons why organized medicine supports CODEX:

1. It eliminates access to key nutrients that prevent disease, thereby creating a population of diseased, malnourished customers who will inevitably turn to high-profit prescription drugs.
2. It focuses people on the debate over the safety of nutritional supplements, distracting them from the debate over the safety of prescription drugs and over-the-counter drugs.
3. It makes nutritional supplements more expensive, putting their purchase out of reach of more consumers.
4. With the loss of vitamin sales, many natural retailers will be forced out of business, and this is good for organized medicine. The fewer health shops exist, the less competition there is for prescription drugs.
5. It establishes a legal precedent of control over not just supplements, but food. This sets the stage for the future banning of nutritious foods that prevent disease such as blueberries and garlic.

...THESE THINGS REALLY ARE HAPPENING!

6. It allows for the arrest and incrimination of key proponents of natural health (vitamin manufacturers, retailers and consumers), removing them from the public stage so that they no longer have a voice.
7. It discredits the entire nutritional supplements industry, creating fear, uncertainty and doubt in the minds of consumers who aren't aware of the real motivations behind the law.
8. It erects huge barriers to the introduction of new supplements to the market by forcing manufacturers to spend millions of dollars on compliance, even for substances that have been safely consumed by humans as medicine for thousands of years.
9. It sets a legal foundation from which other nutrients can be outlawed. Each year, watch for the ratchet to be tightened as a growing list of supplements are banned.
10. It allows natural health critics to use circular logic to attack the industry. They'll say, "If these vitamins weren't dangerous, then they wouldn't have been outlawed, would they?"

Of course, they will tell you its all for your protection. It's for the children. IT'S FOR THEIR STINKING PROFITS!

For more information visit www.naturalnews.com



TXDOT.... (Cont'd from pg 5)

Ralph Snyder, a local Holland businessman and board member of the ECTSRPC.

To understand the magnitude of the coordination victory for local government, here is what the local officials of the ECTSRPC were up against:

- The Trans-Texas Corridor was a keystone project for Gov. Perry
- It was fully supported by President George W. Bush
- It had the funding of the Spanish Corporation, Cintra-Zachry
- The people of Texas tried to repeal the TTC project during three legislative sessions, but were thwarted each time by the governor and road lobby
- The State of Texas spent \$16 million on environmental and planning documents
- \$3.5 million were made in political contributions to candidates from the TTC Contractors
- \$6.1 million was spent by TTC Contractors for paid lobbyists to get the project through.

The coordination strategy utilized by these courageous Texans was developed by Fred Kelly Grant, president of American Stewards for Liberty. The group regularly holds seminars to teach the coordination strategy to local leaders. It has been used successfully in several communities to stop government land grabs and keep over-zealous government agencies at bay.

To learn more about how the coordination strategy may help in your community, contact American Stewards of Liberty, P.O. Box 1190, Taylor, Texas 76574. Phone: 512-365-2699. E-mail: ASL@americanstewards.us
Website: www.americanstewards.us



ABSOLUTES....!

From the Internet – Items of Interest

The Obama Phone

The nation is collapsing under the federal deficit and Washington can't find a dime to cut from the budget. Here's an idea. Stop the Obama phone. What's the Obama phone, you ask? Well then, that tells me something important about you – you aren't on welfare – you are just a stupid tax payer. Because everybody on the dole knows about the Obama phone.

It's a government program called "Lifeline Benefits" that provides free telephone service to "income-eligible consumers." Don't you actually have to buy something to be a "consumer?" Apparently not in the era of "Change."

So, in short, through this taxpayer-paid boondoggle, welfare recipients get; (1) a FREE new phone; (2) approximately 70 FREE minutes EVERY MONTH. The website states, "you will receive free cellular service, a free SafeLink Wireless cell phone and the assurance that you will get no bills and no contracts EVER!"

I wonder how many drug deals are being made over the Obama phones? Oh, to ask such a question would be profiling – and that would be wrong.

To see if you qualify for your very own Obama phone, (as a tax payer you actually paid for it) just go to the website www.safelinkwireless.com/enrollmentpublic/homes.aspx.

Don't hold your breath until your new free phone arrives. Taxpayers just pay, silly.

Here's something else you paid for....

But you will never get to use it. House Speaker Nancy Pelosi's shiny new jet. Yep, it's a USAF C-32 Boeing 757 – just for Madam Speaker. She uses it to commute back and forth between Capitol Hill and her home in California.

She had a small C-20 jet, but was angered because that had to stop to be refueled, so she ordered a big 200-seat C-32. Nancy flies back and forth to California every week and she is only on the job about three days a week.

It costs taxpayers about \$60,000 for fuel and crew - one way. But we have to bring her back so double that every week. That comes to \$480,000 every month – and \$5,760,000 every year. That's just for her commute. If she decides to take a junket somewhere the price skyrockets ever higher.

Keep in mind, when Nancy is back in DC – working for us – she is busy carving out legislation to force you and me to

"reduce our carbon footprint" with Cap and Trade legislation that will destroy our standard of living. Make our homes cold with government-controlled thermostats and small cars the family won't fit into. And she does it all because she "has compassion for the working men and women in this nation."

One more.... Clunker Math

This past Fall, turning in our clunkers for cash was all the rage. Obama even took credit for "stimulating" the auto industry back to health. Well, here's the real financial result of the program.

If you traded in a clunker worth \$3500, you get \$4500 off the purchase of a new car, for an apparent "savings" of \$1000. However, here's a little known secret I bet the auto dealer didn't mention – you have to pay taxes on the \$4500 as income. If you are in the 30% tax bracket you will pay \$1350 on that \$4500. So, rather than save \$1000, you actually pay an extra \$350 to the feds.

In addition, now you probably have a car payment that will cost you for the next 4 – 5 years. But it gets even better. It appears that many of the car dealers actually raised the prices of the cars. Just before the Cash for Clunkers program began, LA Ford dealers were selling Ford Focus for about \$12,500. During the program they stopped discounting them, instead selling for the list price of \$15,500. Other dealers, from Chevy to Toyota did the same.

So here is the finally tally:

| | | |
|-------------------------|---------|--|
| You traded a car worth: | \$3500 | |
| You got a discount of: | \$4500 | |
| | | ----- |
| Net so far | \$1000 | |
| But you pay taxes: | \$1350 | |
| | | ----- |
| Net so far: | -\$ 350 | |
| And you paid | \$3000 | - more than the car was selling for a month earlier |
| | | ----- |
| Net | -\$3350 | |

There is also sales tax and interest on the car for the next five years.

Obama and crew convinced consumers that they were getting \$4500 of government money. In reality you were giving away your own car and paying an additional \$3350 for the privilege.

Now – on to FREE health care.



LIGHTS.... (*Cont'd from pg 12*)

He answered, "I know more than you because I am a lawyer and I work for the EPA."

After the meeting, many of my atmospheric science friends who worked for public agencies thanked me for what I said, saying they would have liked to say the same thing but they feared for their jobs.

And that, my dear readers, is my recollection of that great day when a lawyer, acting as a scientist, working for the federal government, announced global warming.

Fast forward to today. The federal government is spending 1000 times more money to promote the global warming charade than is available to those scientists who are arguing against it. Never before in history has it taken a massive publicity campaign to convince the public of a scientific truth. The only reason half the public thinks global warming may be true is the massive amount of money put into global warming propaganda.

The green eco-groups have their umbilical cords in the government's tax funds. Aside from a few honest but duped scientists living on government money, the majority of the alarms about global warming - now called "climate change" because it's no longer warming - come from those who have no professional training in atmospheric science. They are the environmentalists, the ecologists, the lawyers and the politicians. They are not the reliable atmospheric scientists whom I know.

Nevertheless, our politicians have passed laws stating that carbon dioxide is bad. See California's AB32 which is based upon science fiction. (For readers who take issue with me, I will be happy to destroy your arguments in another place. In this paper, we focus on the damage to America that is being caused by those promoting the global warming fraud.)

In the year 2000, America planned 150 new coal-electric power plants. These power plants would have been "clean" by real standards but the Greens managed to have carbon dioxide defined legally as "dirty" and this new definition makes all emitters of carbon dioxide, including you, a threat to the planet. Therefore, using legal illogic, the Sierra Club stopped 82 of these planned power plants under Bush II and they expect it will be a slam dunk to stop the rest under Obama.

And now you know the real reason the Columbia Falls Aluminum Company had to shut down. America stopped building new power plants a long time ago. There is now no other source where the company can buy energy. Our energy-producing capability is in a decline and it is taking America with it.

I used to belong to the Sierra Club in the 1960's. It used to be a nice hiking club. In the late 1960's the Sierra Club began turning its attention toward stopping nuclear power. Then I quit the Sierra Club. It continues to prosper from the many subscribers who think they are supporting a good cause. What they are really supporting is the destruction of America brick by brick. The Sierra Club and similar organizations are like watermelons - green on the outside, red on the inside. They are telling us we have no right to our own natural resources, and in doing so they are sinking America.

Inherent in ecology are three assumptions: "natural" conditions are optimal, climate is fragile, and human influences are bad. Physics makes no such assumptions. By assuming climate is fragile, the global warming supporters have assumed their conclusion. In fact, the climate is not fragile. It is stable. The non-adherence to physical logic in the global warming camp is what makes many physical scientists say that global warming is a religion.

So we have a new age religion promoted by environmentalists, incorporated into our laws and brainwashed into our people that is now destroying America from the inside.

Like a vast ship, America is taking a long time to sink but each day it sinks a little further. The fearsome day awaits, when America, if not quickly recovered by its real citizens, will tilt its nose into the water to begin a rapid and final descent into oblivion ... her many resources saved for whom?

References:

* R. Lee: "The 'greenhouse' effect" *J. Appl. Meteor.* 12, 556-557 (1973)

Gerhard Gerlich and Ralf D. Tscheuschner: "Falsification of the Atmospheric CO2 Greenhouse Effects Within The Frame Of Physics," *Version 4.0* (January 6, 2009)

International Journal of Modern Physics B, Vol. 23, No. 3 (2009) 275-364.

<http://www.worldscinet.com/ijmpb/23/2303/S02179792092303.html>

Page 37: "Lee's paper is a milestone marking the day after which every serious scientist or science educator is no longer allowed to compare the greenhouse with the atmosphere."

Copyright © 2009 By Edwin X Berry, PhD

Edwin X Berry, PhD
Atmospheric Physicist
www.climatephysics.com

INSIDER'S REPORT

Get Out of Our House (GOOOH) A Disinfectant on Congress?

By Tom Deweese

There is a lot of talk across the nation about how to replace sitting members of Congress and restore the Republic as established by the Founders. The conversations usually end in frustration as 95% of incumbents continue to be re-elected, seemingly untouchable inside a system designed to keep them in office.

Most polls indicate that well over 80% of Americans disapprove of the job Congress is doing, yet 95% of incumbents get re-elected every election. Why? Because the process for selecting our representatives is broken. A recent Rasmussen poll said that only 25% of voters insist the election process for Congress should remain the same. Yet, to bring about significant change – indeed to replace a majority of Congress would take a ton of money and organization.

I recently came across a bold yet simple plan to replace all 435 members of the U.S. House of Representatives- and do it as early as 2010. It's called GOOOH (pronounced like "go"), or Get Out Of Our House, and is a non-partisan national movement that is rapidly gaining momentum. It could very well be the solution to address the disarray in Washington.

Discouraged by the out-of-control federal deficit (now at \$11.5 trillion), the complexity of the tax code (67,000 pages), an education system that fails almost 30% of our children (worse than when the Department of Education was formed in 1972), borders that leak like a sieve, and dozens of other failed government programs, GOOOH Founder Tim Cox walked away from a lucrative career in the high tech world to build this system.

According to Cox, "GOOOH is not just another political party. It is a system that will allow you and your neighbors to choose, among yourselves, the person who can best represent your district." The system allows the

people to select their representatives – not just choose between a Republican and a Democrat.

Here's how his GOOOH plan works:

First, concerned Americans are asked to visit the GOOOH website (www.goooh.com) and sign on as a member – there is no cost to join. Once signed in, members are asked to complete an extensive 100 question survey. Basically, by completing the form, members are creating their own personal platform – their vision of how they want the nation to be. Members must vote "for" or "against" each question. The goal is to have at least 500,000 Americans take this step.

Examples of questions include:

1. Will you vote for or against the Line Item veto?
2. Will you vote for or against a Balanced Budget Amendment?
3. Will you vote for or against the Fair Tax?
4. Will you vote for or against the creation of a National Health Care system?

To become a candidate for Congress through the GOOOH System, one must first become a GOOOH member and complete the Questionnaire. Then they must pass a screening exam to ensure they meet all the requirements for holding office, including citizenship, age, etc.

Then, candidates are asked to sign a "Commitment Letter" confirming, if elected, they will vote according to their questionnaire answers and that they will not accept special interest money should they be elected to Congress.

The final step for candidates before the election

process begins, will be to lock in their Questionnaire answers and register for a “Candidate Selection Session” in their own congressional district. As they lock in those answers they are certifying that, if elected to Congress, they will vote on legislation according to those answers. In fact, they will sign a legally binding agreement to that fact. They also pledge not to take special interest money if elected – and if they do – will resign from office within 72 hours. This is the heart of the process.

The goal is to reach at least 500,000 participating members in GOOOH. Once that is accomplished, several actions kick in.

First, after the 500,000 number is reached, members will be asked to contribute a minimum of \$100. No money will be requested until that 500,000 threshold is reached. Once collected, however, that money will be sufficient to fund the campaigns of all GOOOH candidates. There will be no need for candidates to raise money. Second, that money will be used to assure the GOOOH candidates are on the ballot in each of the 50 states. And it will be used to finance the candidate selection process.

Finally, after the 500,000 members are signed on the candidate selection process will begin.

Members will be randomly sorted into pools of ten within their district. The pools will meet around the nation simultaneously at predetermined locations on consecutive Saturday mornings. Each pool of ten will select two congressional candidates to advance to the next round. Those selections will be made mainly by assessing the Candidate Questionnaires to determine their positions on the issues – no room for campaign double speak.

Advancing candidates will be randomly assigned into a new pool within their district. With 500,000 members there would be 50,000 pools of ten, all meeting on the same day sometime after March, 2010 in round one of the process. The pool selection process will be repeated four or five times until one person is ultimately chosen in the final round – that person will become the GOOOH candidate for the congressional district. The process will be repeated in all 435 Congressional Districts across the nation. And those candidates will

run on the ballot against the incumbents and party choices, supplied with sufficient funds and a network of volunteers to help their campaigns.

By allowing participants to choose who can best represent them, true “citizen” representatives, rather than career politicians, will be selected, just as our Founding Fathers intended. By allowing participants to define their own platform they will not be bound to a political party that will tell them how to vote. The legally binding agreement that each candidate signs before they are selected will put accountability back into the system.

According to Cox, GOOOH has been created to allow the modern day incarnations of Madison, Franklin, and Jefferson to step forward and return our nation to greatness. It seeks men and women with honor and integrity who will lead the effort. GOOOH is a revolutionary plan for selecting our representatives. It takes the money out of politics. It puts an end to partisan behavior and will eliminate block-voting along party lines. It will replace the career politicians.

It’s an interesting idea in a sea of frustration. I recommend you take a few minutes to check out www.GOOOH.com and learn why 25,000 patriots already have signed on to the plan. The request is simple at this time: become a member of the system, which takes nothing more than an email address. Then, you are asked to recruit your family and friends to join the cause. The project is completely funded by Tim Cox and he is quick to point out they do not need funding at this time. Their goal is to first get to 500,000 members.

The two parties have convinced most Americans that they are in control. They use scare tactics to convince voters that supporting independent candidates will only result in putting the “other” party in power. They have successfully used fear to scare people into how they must vote. The results speak for themselves. Now, there is an alternative. Will America be brave enough to take this unusual opportunity to fight this battle and truly change the system? Only time will tell.

SPOTLIGHT ON TYRANNY

How They are Turning Off the Lights in America

By Edwin X. Berry, PhD

On October 31, 2009, the once largest aluminum plant in the world will shut down. With it goes another American industry and more American jobs. The Columbia Falls Aluminum Company in Montana will shut down its aluminum production because it cannot purchase the necessary electrical power to continue its operations.

How did this happen in America? America was once the envy of the world in its industrial capability. America's industrial capacity built America into the most productive nation the world had ever known. Its standard of living rose to levels never before accomplished. Its currency became valuable and powerful, allowing Americans to purchase imported goods at relatively cheap prices.

America grew because of innovation and hard work by the pioneers of the industrial revolution, and because America has vast natural resources. A great economy, as America once was, is founded on the ability to produce electrical energy at low cost. This ability has been extinguished. Why?

Columbia Falls Aluminum negotiated a contract with Bonneville Power Administration in 2006 for Bonneville to supply electrical power until September 30, 2011. But, responding to lawsuits, the 9th US Circuit Court ruled the contract was invalid because it was incompatible with the Northwest Power Act. Therefore, the combination of the Northwest Power Act and a US Circuit Court were the final villains that caused the shutdown of Columbia Falls Aluminum.

But the real reasons are much more complicated. Why was it not possible for Columbia Falls Aluminum to find sources of electricity other than Bonneville?

We need to look no further than the many environmental groups like the Sierra Club and to America's elected officials who turned their backs on American citizens and in essence themselves, for they too are citizens of this country. These officials bought into the green agenda promoted by the heavily funded environmental groups. Caving to pressure, they passed laws and the environmental groups filed lawsuits that began turning off the lights in America. The dominos started to fall.

They began stopping nuclear power plants in the 1970's. They locked up much of our coal and oil resources with land laws. They passed tax credits, which forces taxpayers to foot the bill for billionaire investors to save taxes by investing in less productive wind and solar energy projects.

In 1988, the Environmental Protection Agency called a meeting of atmospheric scientists and others with environmental interests. I remember well the meeting I attended in the San Francisco Bay Area. The meeting was in a theater-like lecture room with the seating curved to face the center stage and rising rapidly toward the back of the room. Attending were many atmospheric scientists whom I knew from Lawrence Livermore Laboratory, Stanford Research Institute and some local colleges.

The room became silent when a man walked up to the lectern. He told us that the next big national problem was global warming. He explained how human carbon dioxide emissions were trapping the earth's radiation like a greenhouse and causing the atmosphere to heat beyond its normal temperature. He said this will lead to environmental disasters. He finished by saying the EPA will now concentrate its research funding toward quantifying the disasters that would be caused by our carbon dioxide.

The room was silent. I was the first to raise my hand to ask a question, "How can you defend your global warming hypothesis when you have omitted the effects of clouds which affect heat balance far more than carbon dioxide, and when your hypothesis contradicts the paper by Lee * in the Journal of Applied Meteorology in 1973 that shows the atmosphere does not behave like a greenhouse?"

He answered me by saying, "You do not know what you are talking about. I know more about how the atmosphere works than you do."

Not being one to drop out of a fight, I responded, "I know many of the atmospheric scientists in this room, and many others who are not present but I do not know you. What is your background and what makes you know so much more than me?"

(Cont'd on Page 9)