

THE DEWEESE REPORT

WWW.AMERICANPOLICY.ORG

THE TRUTH ABOUT CONSPIRACY THEORIES

By: Tom DeWeese

What is a conspiracy theory? There seems to be a lot of them because every time I write about another government program or policy, the denials begin as someone starts smirking “conspiracy theory” and calling me a fringe wacko. It’s getting tiresome.

Another name they like to throw around is racist if I happen to write something about government programs designed to take my money to give to someone else. “Racist.”

And if I happen to question environmental policy, then I’m a lackey of big business who wants to pave the earth. The term they use for radicals like me is the “astro turf crowd.”

To sum it all up, apparently, I’m a fringe radical, racist whacko who wants to destroy the earth. Wow. It’s got to be a heavy burden having someone like me lurking in society. I’m sure there are lots of laws in the works to protect those who never get involved in anything from

being harmed by my rude questioning of our dedicated public servants.

The funny thing is, in forty years of political life I have never once advocated passing a law or imposing a regulation or rule to make anyone do anything. I have spent my life just trying to get others to leave me, my family and my property alone. Whacko indeed.

Of course, the other side of the conspiracy theory charges is the denial by those actually carrying out the policies I’m questioning. Just ask them if they are doing anything wrong. Of course not. The policy in question, they say, is just a minor adjustment to correct a program for the benevolence and safety of us all. “The Republic is safe,” they laughingly say into the television camera as a reporter questions one of my charges. They all have a good laugh over the silly conspiracy theories that keep springing up on the Internet. That in itself may be a good reason to regulate the Internet, to keep us all safe from the rantings of whackos.

Sometimes I listen to such arguments or read an article defending policies I’ve questioned and they make it sound so innocent, so benign. I think to myself, well, maybe I am wrong. Maybe these really are just good public servants whose polices aren’t really a threat to anyone.

According to them, the UN has no teeth to make policy stick and is no threat

to any nation’s sovereignty; the Security and Prosperity Partnership (SPP) is not the beginnings of a plan to create a North American Union, just a steps to grow the American economy and improve trade; America’s public education system is really the best in the world, there is no effort to use the classroom for anything but good old fashioned reading, ritin, and rithmatic; There is no effort underway to create a national Big Brother surveillance system, the Patriot Act is just a tool for helping law enforcement fight terrorism and the Real ID Act is not a national ID; and the Nature Conservancy and the Sierra Club really just want to help protect the environment, not restructure our entire economic and social system.

I really would love to have all of these things be true. I would be happy. There would be no need for me to keep fighting such battles. It is very stressful, you know. I don’t like being the one who spoils the mood at a party every time someone asks me a political question and then doesn’t like my answer. I would gladly shut the doors of the American Policy Center forever. I could spend my life doing what I really want to do; write fiction books; open a printing company; be a disk jockey on the radio; operate a tourist business sailing a catamaran off the coast of Jamaica. So much I would rather do than deal with the lying sleezeballs who have one purpose in life – to take my liberty to build power for themselves.

IN THIS ISSUE:

3. AMERICAN POLICY CENTER: BOARD OF DIRECTORS, BOARD OF ADVISORS, AND STAFF
4. 2006 : THE YEAR IN REVIEW
7. Help Endow APC Through a Contribution to APF
8. 2007 BATTLES FACING APC

The fact is, these policies and goals do exist. I'm just guilty of exposing them. By the way, I don't call them conspiracy theories – they do. These are simply policies which I believe are wrong because they endanger my liberties. It's an issue of political philosophy and one's view of the proper role of government. And so I exercise my right to oppose them.

They are the ones trying to hide their actions. I've always wondered, if someone believes they are doing the right thing, why do they want to hide their actions? Aren't they proud of their accomplishments? Don't they believe everyone would support them? Instead they cloak them in secrecy and lie when the light is shown under their rock. That's why they become conspiracies.

Let's take just a very few of today's current "conspiracy theories." To make it really easy for everyone to comprehend the true purpose of the policies in question – I'll use their words as much as possible.

They say it is a conspiracy theory to suggest that the United Nations is working to impose global governance and is a threat to national sovereignty. Just ask any proponent of the UN and they will tell you that the UN has no ability to do so. They cynically laugh at the suggestion that the UN even thinks of such things. The UN, they say, just wants to "promote human rights, improve governance and democracies and feed the poor." No conspiracy here. Just good old fashioned compassion.

The Truth. The UN has held countless international conferences dedicated to the purpose of implementing global governance. Each of these conferences, from the Earth Summit in Rio to the Habitat II conference in Istanbul, have produced policy documents and treaties designed to bind nations to global economic and environmental policies. Do they spend millions of dollars on these exercises simply to offer suggestions on how independent nations should act? Of course not. Here is what leading spokesmen for support UN policies really think of sovereignty.

"Nationhood as we know it will be

obliterated, all states will recognize a single global authority...National sovereignty wasn't such a good idea after all..." Strobe Talbott, U.S. Deputy Secretary of State, Clinton Administration

"It is simply not feasible for sovereignty to be exercised unilaterally by individual nation-states, however powerful." Maurice Strong, co-chairman UN Commission on Global Governance.

"a system of world order – preferably a system of world government – is mandatory... The proud nations someday will see the light and, for the common good and their own survival, yield up their precious sovereignty..." Walter Cronkite, A Reporter's Life.

Of course, when I say they say these things, I'm called a nut. Go figure.

They say it is a conspiracy theory to suggest that the Bush Administration is creating a North American Union. "They" all shake their heads at this one, with smiles on their faces and they simply say no, there is no effort to create a North American Union. The Bush Administration's Security and Prosperity Partnership is not using a Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) report as a blue print for the plan, and certainly not, there are no plans to throw out the dollar for a common North American currency called the Amero. The SPP, says the "Myths and Facts" section of the SPP web site (put there to calmly put down those darn conspiracy theorist) is not an agreement nor is it a treaty, In fact, no agreement was ever signed," the document proudly states.

The Truth. On March 23, 2005, President Bush, Mexican President Vicente Fox and Canadian Prime Minister Paul Martin met at the Bush Ranch in Crawford, Texas in what they called a "Summit." After the meeting, the three heads of state then drove to Baylor University to announce their "signing" of an agreement to form the Security and Prosperity Partnership of North America.

Today, 20 working groups operate out of offices in the Commerce Department preparing policy papers, memorandums

THE DEWEESE REPORT

Vol. 13, No. 2 February 2007

Published by
American Policy Center

Copy Editor
Virginia DeWeese

Correspondence/Fulfillment
Sascha McGuckin
Carolyn DeWeese

Graphics/Layout
Kristy Wilson

The DeWeese Report
70 Main Street, Suite 23
Warrenton, VA 20186

Phone: (540) 341-8911
Fax: (540) 341-8917

E-mail: apcmail@americanpolicy.org

Web Page: www.americanpolicy.org

© 2007 American Policy Center
ISSN 1086-7937
All Rights Reserved

Newsletter of American Policy
Center

Permission to photocopy, reprint and quote articles from The DeWeese Report is hereby granted, provided full acknowledgment is included. All reprinted articles must say: "Written by Tom DeWeese, editor of The DeWeese Report (unless another author is listed). All reprints must carry The DeWeese Report address and phone number. Samples of the reprint must be provided to The DeWeese Report.

of understanding, and trilateral declarations of agreement, laying the foundation for how the agreement will work. Each working group has a counterpart in the other two nations. The Bush Administration refuses to release the names of the members of the working groups. Members of the groups and top Administration leaders including the Secretaries of Defense, State and Homeland Security have attended top level meetings in Canada and Mexico to discuss SPP policy such as "Demographic and Social Dimensions of North American Integration."

Yet, all of these very expensive meetings and travel expenses, paid for by the Administration have never been authorized by the U.S. Congress. Officially, Congress has never been informed of the activities of the SPP, nor have they been approved. It's all been created behind the scenes with the use of the President's Executive Order pen.

A key participant in the organization of the SPP is Dr. Robert Pastor, a member of the CFR and author of a 2001 book entitled "Toward a North American Commission" which outlined in detail the creation of a North American Union, including the creation of a common currency he called the Amero.

In May, 2005, the CFR published its own version in a report called "Building a North American Community." Pastor had a guiding hand it writing that report as well. Yet, the Bush Administration continues to deny there is any connection to Pastor's book or the CFR report, even though Pastor is a major player in the implementation of the SPP.

We are supposed to believe that a man who has written passionately to advocate a North American Union, and travels the world advocating its establishment, now quietly sits in SPP meetings but does nothing to help promote or implement his ideas. It's even harder to explain the near identical

language in the SPP documents and Pastor's book. No conspiracy here, just good old fashioned civil servants trying to make the government run better. Logic and the ability to read and to mentally process such information is simply to be suspended. Any other conclusion is simply to be degraded as a conspiracy theory.

They say it is a conspiracy theory to suggest the public education system is more interested in employing behavior modification techniques to mold children's values, attitudes and beliefs rather than teaching them solid academics. Innovations, new ideas, technology, a community working together, focus from a federal department of education, more money, higher standards, all have been put in place over the past 20 years to assure "no child is left behind" in our drive for educational excellence. Corporate leaders have been recruited to help assure our children are getting the best education in the history of the nation. Awards are given to those dedicated, selfless community volunteers who are making a difference. Pats on the back and smiles assure us all is well as test scores are going up.

No one today in public office has a more condescending smile when challenged about the lack of knowledge in our children. Teachers are instructed by the NEA to report anyone using the term "dumbing down." for they must be right wing whackos determined to undermine the precious public school system.

The Truth. Today's children are academically stupid. Ask any child basic questions about the Constitution and the uniqueness of our system of government; ask them to answer basic math questions without the use of a calculator; ask them to diagram a sentence or find on a map nations mentioned everyday in the news. Most can't do it. They have little knowledge of history, civics, geography or math.

(Cont'd on Page 6)



AMERICAN POLICY CENTER

Board of Directors

Tom DeWeese, *President*
 Kathleen Marquardt, *Vice-President*
 Dr. Bonner Cohen
 John Meredith
 Melissa Reed, *Secretary*

Board of Advisors

Rep. Sam Rohrer
Pennsylvania Legislature

Peter Ford
Radio Talk Show Host Activist

Beverly K. Eakman
Author

Staff

Carolyn DeWeese
Office Manager

Sascha McGuckin
Mail Processing, Caging

Melissa Reed
*Bookkeeper, Freedom21.com
 Membership Coordinator*

Lola Craig
Fulfillment Manager

Lara Gardner
Production Manager

Kristy Wilson
Newsletter Layout, Action Alert

2006 : THE YEAR IN REVIEW

The Continuing Assault on National Sovereignty and Private Property

The assault on American sovereignty and independence took center stage in 2006, from efforts to create an amnesty for illegal aliens to the establishment of a North American Union. Meanwhile, property owners continued to feel the pinch of government encroachment of their land.

In December, 2005, the U.S. House of Representatives created a firestorm of protest from Hispanic activists and from the White House by passing an immigration reform bill. The bill offered strict penalties to those caught sneaking into the country illegally. It allowed local law enforcement to arrest illegals, rather than having to wait for the feds to show up. The House bill also mandated that employers verify the legal status of workers. Finally, the bill called for the construction of a security fence along the U.S./Mexico border.

A majority of citizens expressed support for the bill as more than 80% of the American people demanded something be done to curb the flood of illegals entering this country and draining the strained budgets of hospitals, schools and local welfare services. The House bill passed by a wide margin with strong bipartisan support.

However, in cities across the nation, "alien rights" organizations led by radical groups like The National Council de La Raza (The Race) and the Chicano Student Movement of Aztlan (MEChA) turned out hordes of Mexican expatriates, many here illegally, to protest the very laws they had broken. They found it offensive that America has laws to protect its own borders.

Meanwhile, in the U.S. Senate, with the support of President Bush, passed a very different immigration reform bill. The Senate version ignored the House's strong penalties and deterrence, instead it included the President's guest worker scheme, a thinly veiled amnesty to allow illegals to stay here and be paid a prevailing wage. It also included such incentives to illegals as in-state college tuitions and eligibility for Social Security benefits. The Senate bill also increased the numbers of immigrants who may come here; exempts employers from civil and criminal tax and liability; and it would not bar illegal aliens who are convicted of felonies from obtaining U.S. citizenship. Never has a bill been so wrong for a nation facing serious economic and social disaster from a seemingly unstoppable flood of law breakers.

In the end, neither bill was able to pass the full congress and

become law. Courageously, the House refused to back down under pressure from both the Senate and the White House to support the Senate version. Now, however, in the new 110th Congress, with the Democrats in full control of both Houses, President Bush finds it hard to hide his glee at the prospects of joining hands with them to finally pass the Senate version and essentially eliminate any deterrence for those who seek to rush across our border. The American Policy Center helped lead the fight to expose and defeat the Senate version.

Meanwhile, the reason for President Bush's adamant support of the Senate's Guest Worker plan became crystal clear in June as reports began to emerge of Administration's efforts to create a North American Union. While careful not to use that title, the Bush Administration pushed forward with the implementation of the Security and Prosperity Partnership of North America (SPP) as a result of a 2005 agreement signed with Mexico and Canada.

One of the main provisions of the agreement was the free movement across the borders between the three countries. Obviously the Senate version of immigration "reform" fits the SPP agreement much better than the House's apparently "misguided" efforts to protect the U.S. border as if our independence and sovereignty mattered. Putting the two efforts (immigration report and the SPP) side by side, helps to make true Bush Administration policy much clearer.

Despite denials to the contrary, the SPP effort moves forward with at least 20 working groups operating out of the Department of Commerce in Washington, D.C., steadily creating policy guidelines under which the three nations will "harmonize" movement of goods across the borders, along with communications and technology policies; common environmental regulations; E-commerce regulations; establishing financial services, including loan policy; overseeing business facilitation; and creating the rules under which business may operate.

Along with the efforts of the working groups, several high level meetings have been held in all three nations to discuss implementation of the policies. These meetings have included the Secretaries of State, Commerce, Defense and Homeland Security. Incredibly, as massive amounts of taxpayer money is being spent to support the working groups and pay for participation in the trilateral meetings, Congress has not approved nor appropriated a single dime for the effort.

At the end of the 109th Congress, four members of Congress, Virgil Goode, Ron Paul, Tom Tancredo and Walter Jones introduced H.C.R. 487 designed to express “the sense of the Congress that the United States should not engage in the construction of a NAFTA super highway system or a North American Union.”

The American Policy Center is working with other organizations to help create a coalition to stop the North American Union. To date the coalition consists of more than 50 organizations which will meet at the end of January to plan strategy for the fight.

The battle to protect private property took many twists and turns throughout 2006. In late 2005, the House of Representatives passed by a wide margin the Property Rights Protection Act in the wake of the outrageous Kelo Vs New London decision. That incredible decision threw out 200 years of American recognition and protection of private property rights. For all of those years Americans understood their property was theirs, protected by the Constitution. Property could not be taken from land owners, except in very extreme circumstances, such as the public's need to build a highway or specific building (the Founders discussed the need for building a fort for national defense as a legitimate use of eminent domain). That was a promise to Americans that their property was secure. It is this single right that makes the United States different from literally every other nation on earth. It is the main reason why the United States became the richest and most powerful nation on earth.

Then the Kelo decision threw it all away by ruling that it is legitimate public use under the Fifth Amendment to employ eminent domain to take private property from a homeowner and transfer it to a private corporation in the name of economic development. That means no property is safe if even one elected official can decide to team up with private developers to take land for the “common good.” Raising taxes through new development has become the new excuse for using eminent domain. And the Supreme Court said it's OK.

The nation was outraged. That's why the House acted quickly to pass the Property Rights Protection Act which would withhold federal funds from any community taking land for private development. All were ready for the Senate to pass the same bill and get it on the President's desk to sign into law and essentially overturn the Supreme Court's mistake.

It didn't happen because one Senator, Arlen Specter, Chairman of the powerful Senate Judiciary Committee would not allow the bill to pass out of his committee for a full Senate vote. Said Specter in a letter to APC, “Unfortunately, we were unable to reach consensus on the legislative solution among the members of the Judiciary Committee in the 109th Congress.” The truth is, Senator Specter was working with two powerful special interests groups which did not want this bill passed under any circumstances – the National League of Cities and the U.S. Conference of Mayors. Both groups saw passage to be a massive loss of their power and riches. Specter simply withheld action on the bill. Consequently, the bill died at the end of the 109th session and now property rights forces must begin again from scratch to pass the desperately needed protections.

There was one major victory in the 109th Congress, however, in the battle to protect private property. On the last day of the Lame Duck Session in early December, The American Policy Center, the National Center for Public Policy Research and several other property rights groups succeeded in stopping passage of the Journey Through Hallowed Ground National Heritage Area.

This bill would have created a federally designated heritage area running from Thomas Jefferson's home in Virginia to the Gettysburg Battle Field in Pennsylvania. The boundaries would have created yet another excuse for communities to impose massive zoning and eminent domain in the name of historic preservation. Moreover, funding for the Heritage Area would have provided millions of taxpayer dollars into the pockets of private special interest groups who would use it to further expand the power and reach of the Heritage Area. And we'll be there to fight all of these battles over again in 2007.

The APC forces were able to stop the bill on the last day of the Lame Duck session of the 109th Congress, even after a massive onslaught of phone calls and pressure from the well-heeled Journey Through Hallowed Ground Partnership and strong pressure brought on by bill sponsors Frank Wolf in the House and George Allen in the Senate. In spite of Wolf's pressure on stalwart conservative Roscoe Bartlett (R-MD) to support the bill, which would effect his district, Bartlett stayed with us.

This was a major victory for property rights advocates and marks the third congress in a row in which proponents have failed to pass Heritage Area legislation. APC remains one of only two main organizations to stand in their way. 

...CONSPIRACY THEORIES

(Cont'd from Page 3) But ask them about global warming, ozone holes and the evils of business and they will have a lively discussion. The reason – that's what classroom time is spent on. Situation ethics and behavior modification to instill in our children attitudes, values and beliefs which reflect a specific outcome – a political outcome designed to lead our children toward the “proper” attitude for living in a global village as global citizens.

Consider these quotes from education reformists. *“Every child in America entering school at the age of five is insane because he comes to school with certain allegiances toward our Founding Fathers, toward his parents, toward our elected officials, toward a belief in a supernatural being, and toward the sovereignty of this nation as a separate entity. It's up to you, teachers, to make all of these sick children well by creating the international child of the future.”* Chester Pierce, Harvard University to a 1973 Education Seminar in Denver.

“We must stop being curriculum based...” William Spady, father of Outcome-based Education school “reform”

School to Work is one of the three major “reforms” shoved on the public school system to create “excellence.” Does it educate our children or just create a training process to dump kids into dead end jobs? Let the experts tell you.

“Educated employees have higher turnover rates, lower job satisfaction, and poorer promotion records than less educated employees.” David Hornbeck, STW proponent.

“Most employees under this model need not be educated. It is far more important that they be reliable, steady, and willing to follow directions.” Lauren Resnick, Member of the Secretary's Commission on Achieving Necessary Skills (SCANS).

And that is why your children are stupid, But anyone who questions such stupidity is called a fringe whacko.

They say it is a conspiracy theory to suggest the Patriot Act and the Real ID Act are creating a Big Brother Society. Any member of the Bush Administration will tell you its all about fighting terrorism and protecting the great freedoms of this nation. No conspiracy, just making sure the government has the necessary tools to protect us.

The Truth. In the name of fighting terrorism we are witnessing a new kind of government “urban sprawl” oozing out of Washington, D.C. into every back alley, bedroom and underwear drawer in America. In short, we are witnessing the birth of a powerful multi-billion dollar surveillance lobby consisting of an army of special interest groups, Washington lawyers, lobbyists and high-tech firms with wares to sell.

The personal rights of American citizens are the farthest thing from their minds as they seek to fill their pockets while enabling government to monitor and control our lives to a degree unheard of prior to 9/11. This army seeks riches from the federal trough as it pushes for laws and regulations to spy on and control the lives of law-abiding citizens.

“Follow the money” pretty much sums up the truth about any issue. There is money-a-go-go flowing in the name of national security. *The Washington Post* has reported that one powerful D.C. law firm, Powell, Golden, Frazier and Murphy have put together a homeland security unit of 50 lawyers. They will seek government contracts for their clients, and one can bet they will spend a great deal of effort lobbying for more intrusive laws to help build the surveillance industry.

IBM has opened a “Government Solutions Shop.” Unisys Corporation has established a similar exhibition for inspection by federal surveillance planners, called the “Homeland Security Center for Excellence.” Both corporations are racing to cash in on the billions of dollars for facial

recognition systems at airports and high-tech ID cards.

The Chamber of Commerce has hired a former deputy assistant to the Joint Chiefs of Staff to act as a liaison between the Chamber and businesses seeking homeland security contracts.

The target of all of these corporations, lawyers, lobbyists and special interests is the massive Department of Homeland Security. This one agency, comprised of 22 combined federal departments with 170,000 employees, has the ultimate power in the nation. Under the Patriot Act this one Cabinet Secretary has the power to send federal law enforcement into private homes without a search warrant. Records and materials may be taken from homes, computer records searched, phones tapped, and e-mails monitored without legal protection of rights.

And the Homeland Security Department, which is being so heavily lobbied by the surveillance industry, has the power under the Real ID Act to mandate ID requirements including biometric scans such as finger printing, retinal scans, or facial scans. With so much high tech money applying pressure, does anyone have doubt what Homeland Security will recommend for a national ID?

Of course it's only paranoid, fringe fanatics who could oppose such important protections in this dangerous time of terrorist threat.

They say it is a conspiracy theory to suggest that the environmental movement is really destroying human civilization. I'm not even going to spend time trying to pretend on this one. Let the greens speak for themselves.

The Truth. *“Isn't the only hope for the planet that the industrialized civilizations collapse? Isn't it our responsibility to bring that about?”* Maurice Strong, Chairman of the UN's Earth Summit, 1992.

“We reject the idea of private

property.” Peter Berle, President, National Audubon Society.

“Free enterprise really means rich people getting richer. They have the freedom to exploit and psychologically rape their fellow human beings in the process... Capitalism is destroying the earth.” Helen Caldicott, Union of Concerned Scientists.

“Pet ownership is slavery. Animals are not ours to eat, wear, experiment on, or be entertained by.” Ingrid Newkirk, Founder of People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals (PeTA).

“The only really good technology is no technology at all. Technology is taxation without representation levied by an elitist species upon the rest of the natural world.” Friends of the Earth.

“The extinction of the human species may not only be inevitable but a good thing... This is not to say that the rise in human civilization is insignificant, but there is no way of showing that it will be much of a help to the world in the long run.” Editorial in the ‘Economist.’

“If you give the idea a chance, you might agree that the extinction of homo sapiens would mean survival for millions, if not billions of other earth-dwelling species.” Wild Earth Magazine.

“Among environmentalists sharing two or three beers, the notion is quite common that if only some calamity could wipe out the entire human race, other species might once again have a chance.” Richard Conniff, Audubon Magazine.

This is the wasted human corpuscle which dares call me a fringe extremist, yet not once have I called for their eradication, nor would I because I value human life – even theirs.

My ideals of governance are exactly the same as those held by the founders of this nation including Washington, Jefferson, Franklin, Henry and Madison. Their ideas of a controlled government, individual liberty, private property ownership and free enterprise are the ones which made this nation the most free, richest and healthiest in the world.

The opposite – the ideas being promoted today by those who advocate powerful central government, controlled economies, destruction of private property ownership and redistribution of wealth are the root of poverty, pain and human misery. Those policies have been proven time and again to fail, leaving death and destruction in their wake.

They seek to control every movement, every action and every decision people make about their own lives. Rather than following our Constitution, which says we are all born with our rights, giving government the job to protect them, they seek to dictate what our rights will be. Control, power, and ultimately disaster – caused by their policies are the future we face with them in charge.

To cover it up and redirect attention, they call me an extremist whacko. And the tactic works every time a lazy, uninformed electorate chooses to trust elected officials to make decisions for them. Luckily, I have a tough hide. 

Help Endow APC through a Contribution to the American Policy Foundation

Keeping APC’s many programs running at peak effectiveness is a constant struggle. The uncertainty of available funds many times makes it difficult to commit to important battles. APC supporters can help relieve that uncertainty through an endowment with a larger than normal contribution – and you can also get a tax break for the effort, just by sending the contribution to the American Policy Foundation (APF).

The American Policy Foundation is the non-profit, 501c3 educational arm of the American Policy Center. APF produces research, conducts surveys and helps support APC’s day-to-day upkeep. All donations to APF are tax deductible. Individuals and corporations may make sizable contributions to APF and mail it directly to the American Policy Foundation, 70 Main Street, Suite 23, Warrenton, VA 20186.

If you are employed by a corporation

or organization, which has a **Matching Funds Gift Program**, please keep APF in mind when making your donation.

Many generous donors make gifts to non-profit organizations, including the American Policy Foundation, through **estate bequests**. Such gifts are made in the context of an overall estate plan.

Bequests are simple and flexible: “I give \$_____ to the American Policy Foundation, a non-profit organization based in Warrenton, Virginia for its exempt purposes,” is all that’s needed to make a general operating gift in your estate plan.

You can also choose to be more specific. Bequests can be directed to help APF address specific issues of interest to you. For example, you may direct us to use your gift to address property rights, education, sovereignty, and privacy or illegal immigration issues. You can make a one-time gift, or even provide for endowment support. Your gift can be

arranged so that a spouse or other selected beneficiary is provided for before the gift to APF takes effect.

Bequests help preserve as much of an estate as possible through tax-saving devices and opportunities. Under current law, some assets are subject to extremely high tax rates when passed to heirs through the estate. Such assets are ideal resources to use in making a charitable gift by will or trust.

So please, consider including APF in your estate planning. If you do, you may lower estate taxes and less costly probate, while helping the cause to which you’ve dedicated your life. Help us make APC and APF more effective in the fight for liberty for decades to come.

For more information on how you can include the American Policy Foundation in your estate plans, talk to your estate planner or contact us at 70 Main Street, Suite 23, Warrenton, VA 20186. 

2007 BATTLES FACING APC

The Democrats are now in control and it's going to be a busy time for APC. Here are a few of the major battles we expect to fight (or continue to fight) in 2007.

Private Property Protection Act

Thanks to Senator Arlen Specter and his successful efforts to kill this bill, we've got to start over again. And the prospects don't look very promising. After the Kelo Vs New London Supreme Court decision, there was a real ground swell of support for the protection of private property rights. Frankly, it has been many years since Americans spoke out on this issue or saw it reported on the front pages of newspapers. People understood the danger and wanted something done.

All of that initial momentum is now lost. Many people actually think congress did take actions, thanks to strong support and passage of the bill in the House. But Senator Arlen Specter just laid low and stayed very quiet, letting the bill die in his committee in favor of his friends in the power National League of Cities and the U.S. Conference of Mayors. They didn't want to lose the power to take your house if they thought the city could make more tax money off of a new hotel or shopping center.

America's protection of private property rights is the most important right in all of our freedoms. It is what makes us a unique nation. We must continue to fight and hope enough Americans will continue to demand action on this vital legislation that even the Democrats will be forced to take action. APC is prepared to lead the way.

Real ID Act

Even though this has already become law and 2008 is the deadline for states

to comply by making their state drivers licenses part of a government mandated national ID system, there is still hope it can be stopped. The reason? As usual, money.

States simply are not able to meet the deadline and produce a driver's license with biometric identifiers, nor are they able to establish the necessary databank to support the record keeping required. Of course, the states, instead of refusing to go along, are simply demanding that the federal government supply the needed cash.

That disagreement provides freedom advocates with an opportunity to raise a ruckus and demand at least a delay in implementation. We'll take what we can get.

Once these new drivers licenses are in place, you will not be able to get on an air plane, drive a car, open a bank account, get a loan or access a voting booth without it. We have one last chance to stop Big Brother. APC is prepared to lead the way.

North American Union

There is no more important fight facing us than stopping the North American Union. If we lose this fight, the free Republic of the United States will cease to exist as we "harmonize" our nation with Mexico and Canada. Property rights are basically unknown in Mexico. America's unique judicial system is not the same in Canada. Our ideas of free enterprise and limited government are unheard of in both nations. Our nation has nothing to gain from such a "Partnership" but a failed economy and less freedom.

The White House appears to be orchestrating a behind the scenes effort to discredit those of us fighting the NAU. The news media is ignoring the issue. Congress is in the dark. And the

average American simply doesn't know a thing about it.

Our job in 2007 is to change all of that. APC is now helping to organize a coalition of groups to fight back, in Congress, the media and in the grassroots. We'll keep you informed of further developments. APC is prepared to lead the way.

Illegal Immigration

80% of the American people demand something be done to stop illegal immigration. Yet President Bush is excited about working with the Democrats to pass his guest workers effort and open the borders to illegals. The passage of legislation in the 109th congress to build a fence along the border was an election ploy with no teeth. Specifically the Congress failed to pass an appropriations bill to pay for it.

APC is ready to fight on the front lines to stop the guest workers scheme, build the fence and create strong penalties for illegals who come here and employers who hire them. We'll do everything in our power to speak for the overwhelming majority of Americans who want their nation protected from the flood of illegals. APC is prepared to lead the way.

More...

There are many more battles which must be addressed as we move into 2007, including continued support for Ron Paul's American Sovereignty Restoration Act, (H.R.1146), countering the lies about Global Warming, stopping any renewed efforts to pass more Heritage Areas, stopping reauthorization of the No Child Left Behind Act and passing legislation to protect the Republic from Federal Judges, specifically Ron Paul's "We the people" Act. APC is prepared to lead the way.

