

THE DEWEESE REPORT

WWW.FREEDOM21.COM

THE FIX IS IN...

FORCING INTERNATIONAL AGENDAS THROUGH LOCAL MAYORS

By Tom DeWeese

In June 2005, I reported on the UN's efforts to recruit the nation's mayors to directly impose Sustainable Development policy into our local communities. The Mayors weren't there to simply discuss policy, they committed to an agenda with specific goals. And the results are now clearly being seen in more than 400 communities in 48 states.

First, let me define the policy I'm talking about and describe where it came from. Sustainable Development is the direct opposite of the type

of locally elected representative government our Founding Fathers organized for the United States. Sustainable Development expert Michael Shaw explains, it "is the process by which America is being reorganized around a central principle of state collectivism using the environment as bait." In fact, the policy involves every aspect of our daily lives from food processing and consumption, to health care, to community development to education to labor, and much more. The blue print for sustainable development came from a United Nations soft law policy called Agenda 21, first revealed at the UN's Earth Summit in 1992.

The best way to understand what Sustainable Development actually is, can be found by discovering what is NOT sustainable. According to the UN's Biodiversity Assessment Report, items for our everyday lives that are NOT sustainable include: *Ski runs, grazing of livestock, plowing of soil, building fences, industry, single family homes, paved and tarred roads, logging activities, dams and reservoirs, power line construction, and economic systems that fail to set proper value on the environment (capitalism, free markets.)* There are many more

specifically listed on UN documents, but these examples should show clearly how Sustainable Development is not compatible with a free society.

It's interesting to note that most of the Sustainable Development agenda has not been implemented through congressional legislation, rather through the use of government grants, Executive Order and Public/Private Partnerships between government officials and global corporations and non-governmental organizations (NGOs), as well as through non-elected boards and committees. As a result, under the banner "going green," it has become official policy for federal, state and now local governments. It literally represents a revolution in the way the United States operates; yet voters have had basically no say in its implementation.

Now to the mayors. The 2005 conference was a way for the UN and its NGO cohorts to skip around Congress and head straight to local implementation. As part of their participation, the mayors were pressed to commit to specific legislation and policy goals by signing a slate of UN accords. Two documents were presented for the mayors' signature.

IN THIS ISSUE:

3. RESISTANCE TO REASON:
Truth Vs Fiction
5. ABSOLUTES:
Ten Simple Truths About Oil;
The Elders of the Global Village;
A Letter from One 'Angry Woman'
10. INSIDERS REPORT:
USDA Memo Mandates NAIS
Premises Registration but Agency
and Big Ag Still Deny Their
Plans; Renewed Battle Over
Illegal Amnesty Building
12. SPOTLIGHT ON TYRANNY:
Barack Obama and The
Weathermen

The first document was called the Green Cities Declaration, produced by the United Nations Environment Programme. This document was essentially a statement of principles which set the agenda for the mayor's assigned tasks. It says, in part, "*Believing as Mayors of cities around the globe, we have a unique opportunity to provide leadership to develop truly sustainable urban centers based on culturally and economically appropriate local actions...*" The Declaration is amazingly bold in that it details exactly how the UN intends to implement a very specific agenda in every town and city in the nation. The final line of the Declaration explained the UN's goal very explicitly: "*Signatory cities shall work to implement the following Urban Environment Accords. Each year cities shall pick three actions to adopt as policies or laws.*"

That leads to the second document signed by the mayors, called the "Urban Environment Accords." The document included exactly 21 specific actions (as in Agenda21), for the mayor to take, controlled by a timetable for implementation.

For example, under the topic of energy, action item #1 called for the mayors to implement a policy to increase the use of "renewable" energy by 10% within seven years. Energy actions items 2 and 3 dealt with reducing energy consumption. These action items are classic examples of the UN trying to go around the U.S. Congress and federal energy policy and force a backdoor implementation of the UN's Kyoto Accord, which the U.S. has never ratified.

Perhaps the most egregious action item offered in the Urban Environmental Accords dealt with the topic of water. Action number 19 called for adoption and implementation of a policy to reduce individual water consumption by 10% by 2020. Interestingly, the UN document begins by stating: "*Cities with potable water consumption greater than 100 liters per capita per day will adopt and implement policies to reduce consumption by 10% by 2015.*"

There is no scientific basis for the 100-liter figure other than to employ a very clever use of numbers to lower the bar and control the debate over water consumption. One must be aware that 100 liters is equal to about 26 GALLONS per person, per day. According to the UN, each person should have less than 26 gallons each day to drink, bathe, flush toilets, wash clothes, water lawns, wash dishes, cook, take care of pets, and more.

According to the U.S. Geological Survey, Americans actually need about 100 GALLONS per day to perform these basic life functions. Consider also that there is no specific water shortage in most parts of the United States. Water is not an international issue – it is a very local one. According to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, annual water withdrawal across the nation is about 407 billion gallons, while consumption (including evaporation and plant use) is about 94 billion gallons. So, such restrictions, as outlined in the Urban Environmental Accords are really nothing more than a major campaign by the UN to control water consumption. Yet, the nation's mayors are being pushed to impose policies to severely restrict Americans' free use of water.

The rest of the Accords deal with a variety of subjects including waste reduction, recycling, transportation, health, and nature – as stated, issues literally dealing with every aspect of our lives. Perhaps the most outrageous promise of action by the mayors is action item #16, in which they agreed to "*Every year identify three products, chemicals, or compounds that are used within your city that represents the greatest risk to human health and adopt a law or eliminate their sale and use in the city.*"

There you have it. The mayors had to promise to ban something every year. Yep, that's the UN's version of a free society. But here's a question for the mayors. What

THE DEWEESE REPORT

Vol. 15, No. 1 January 2009

Published by
Freedom21 Communications, LLC

Editor
Tom DeWeese

Copy Editor
Virginia DeWeese

Correspondence/Fulfillment
Sascha McGuckin
Carolyn DeWeese

Graphics/Layout
Kristy Hook

The DeWeese Report
70 Main Street, Suite 23
Warrenton, VA 20186

Phone: (540) 341-8910
Fax: (540) 341-8916

Web Page:
www.freedom21.com

© 2009 Freedom21
Communications, LLC
ISSN 1086-7937
All Rights Reserved

Permission to photocopy, reprint and quote articles from The DeWeese Report is hereby granted, provided full acknowledgment is included. All reprinted articles must say: "Written by Tom DeWeese, editor of The DeWeese Report (unless another author is listed). All reprints must carry The DeWeese Report address and phone number. Samples of the reprint must be provided to The DeWeese Report.

if there isn't a "chemical or compound" that poses a risk? What if the community actually needs them? What if jobs are at stake? What about private property or free enterprise? Not a consideration. The mayor's gotta ban something anyway – he signed an agreement. That's not to be taken lightly, with environmental protection at stake.

Consider this bit of real live insanity as an example of how serious it can get. In the 1990s, Anchorage, Alaska had some of the most pristine water in the nation. It literally had no pollution. Yet, because of government-mandated clean water standards, the federal government ordered the city to meet strict federal rules that required the city to remove a certain percentage of pollution from its water. The city simply couldn't do it because there wasn't that amount of pollution to be removed. But the government insisted that the law be upheld – no exceptions. Therefore, in order to meet the federal requirements (and avoid huge fines or jail terms), Anchorage city officials were forced to pollute its pristine water by dumping fish parts into it so they could then clean out the required quota. So, it is not far fetched to say that, if your mayor has to ban the ink in your fountain pen to meet his quota – ban it he will.

Again, the UNs' meeting with the mayors took place in 2005. Today in 2008, what are the consequences? Plenty. Meet ICLEI, a non-profit, private foundation, headquartered in Canada, dedicated to helping your mayor implement all of his promises. Originally known as the "International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives (ICLEI), today the group simply calls it self "ICLEI – Local Governments for Sustainability." In 1992, ICLEI was one of the groups instrumental in creating Agenda 21. Now it is driven to help impose it from the local level of government. (Cont'd on Page 4)



RESISTANCE TO REASON

REVEALING FACTS OF THOSE WHO HAVE DECLARED WAR ON LOGIC

Truth Vs Fiction

There are a lot of lies driving bad policy today. We're told that man-made global warming is at the center of all our troubles. From that position, energy policy, development, industry and even how we raise our children is dictated. Here are some truths and reality you need in order to survive.

THE LIE

"Only an insignificant fraction of scientists deny the global warming crisis. The time for debate is over. The science is settled." -- Al Gore (1992)

THE TRUTH

Despite last year's United Nations Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change declaration that climate change was "unequivocal, is already happening, and is caused by human activity," not all experts are convinced. More than 650 international scientists are disputing those claims in a newly updated U.S. Senate Minority Report. It adds about 250 scientists to the 400 who spoke out last year and includes current and former U.N. climate panel members.

Atmospheric Scientist Joanne Simpson writes, "Since I am no longer affiliated with any organization nor receiving any funding, I can speak quite frankly. As a scientist I remain skeptical."

And from Nobel Prize Winner in physics Ivan Giaever "global warming has become a new religion." -- Brit Hume Fox News Channel

Snow in New Orleans: today's was the earliest in the season ever recorded. -- December, 2008

THE 'GREEN JOBS' MYTH

We hope the incoming Obama economics team is paying attention to the worker and industry backlash in Europe. The Europeans once believed the "green jobs" myth too. Now, as blue-collar workers take to the streets, they have learned that climate-change legislation means green unemployment. -- *The Wall Street Journal Europe, 10 December 2008*

THE LIE

We are running out of room... the population is too big for the earth to sustain.

THE TRUTH

Less than 5% of the land in the United States is developed. Of that, only about 2.5% is densely populated.

Human settlements (cities, towns, and villages) occupy less than 1 percent of the land area of the world.

If every man, woman, and child in the world were given a house the size of the average U.S. house, they could all live in the State of Texas. -- "Eco-Sanity, a Common Sense Guide to Environmentalism, The Heartland Institute

THE LIE

We are running out of oil.

"The one thing we fail to talk about is those costs that you don't see on the bottom line. That is coal is making us sick; oil makes us sick; it's global warming. It's ruining our country. It's ruining our world. We've got to stop using fossil fuel." -- *Senate Majority Leader Harry Reid, June 30, 2008 – Fox News Business Channel*

THE TRUTH

The one thing we fail to talk about is how much America needs fossil fuel. Coal powers us 22%; oil 30%; natural gas 22%. Nuclear power and hydropower 11%. Biofuels, wind and solar total less than 5%. Junking fossil fuels for non-existent substitutes is sick. We've got to get rid of infected politicians. Ron Arnold, Center for the Defense of Free Enterprise and author.



INT'L AGENDAS.... (Cont'd from pg 3)

Many Americans ask how dangerous international policies can suddenly turn up in state and local government, all seemingly uniform to those in neighboring communities. The answer – groups like ICLEI. The group has made its mission to push local communities to regulate the environment – and it's having tremendous success. According to a report published by the Capital Research Center, ICLEI is now operating in more than 400 cities in 48 states (except Hawaii and South Dakota). The group is shooting for 1,000 member cities in the next three years. ICLEI is there to help the mayors keep their promises and meet their goals.

Climate change, of course, is the ICLEI mantra. That's the real excuse for all of the draconian controls and bannings of valuable tools of civilization. ICLEI's programs are designed to decrease each cities "carbon footprint." That can be costly to the taxpayers. But mayors, who sign promises on UN documents to ban at least three valuable commodities per year, love them. In fact, ICLEI is very popular with the mayors and city council members because it not only shows the community leaders how to promote climate change, but it also does the work for them. Never mind that cities have to pay dues to ICLEI in order to gain access to their impressive list of programs.

Here's just some of the programs ICLEI provides cities and towns, in order to spread their own particular political agenda in the name of "community services." They include: **Software products and associated training** to help set the goals of "greenhouse gas reductions"; **Access to a network of "experts, newsletters, conferences and workshops** – to assure the indoctrination of city employees is

complete; **Toolkits, online resources, case studies, fact sheets, policy and practice manuals, and blueprints** used by other communities – you are not alone; **Training workshops for staff and elected officials** on how to develop and implement the programs – wanna bet they never seem to mention that thousands of scientists around the world now say man-made global warming is a myth and none of these programs are necessary? Probably doesn't make it into the workshop; **Technical assistance in designing and implementing the program; Notification of relevant grant opportunities** – this is the important one – money – with severe strings attached; and finally, important for the mayors, **Assistance in publicizing local climate protection successes.**

As the Capital Research Center's report details, "*Local governments gratefully outsource their work to ICLEI, which even offers hiring advice. The group recommends that cities hire a 'sustainability manager' to coordinate an inter-departmental green team representing city administrative, public works, environment, facilities, budget, economic development, planning, social services, and parks agencies to share ideas about how to improve internal operations to make them more consistent with environmentally sound practices.*"

As I've reported endless times, sustainable development is a top down control by government, invading every aspect of our lives. The above list of interactions, even at the local level show just how invasive it can be. A full time "sustainability manager," even in small towns, can devote 100% of his time to assure that every nook and corner of the government is on message, including the budget managers, the welfare department, and, of course, the developers. It's not about protecting the environment, it's about reinventing government with a

specific political agenda.

ICLEI has money- lots of it, along with a lot of high-level government contacts, and they use them. The target is your local community, your home, your place of business, your child in school, your car, your light bulbs, your drinking water, your food consumption, your tax dollars, and every other aspect of your lives.

ICLEI and others are dedicated to controlling your locally elected public officials to quietly implement an all-encompassing tyranny over every community in the nation. And your mayor is probably helping them do it – in the name of environmental protection, of course. It's not necessarily their fault. The mayors have been targeted and are now in a vice grip between international forces like the UN and Non-governmental organizations (NGOs) like ICLEI.

The United States is not a global village run by elders who hand down the rules from on-high. We are a nation of governments elected by the citizens. There is supposed to be discussion, debate and disclosure so that all citizens know where, when and why an issue is being presented – and then they are to vote on it.

Today, however, global forces which do not accept the unique American form of government sneak behind the curtain, avoiding controversy and honest debate. They target unknowing local officials, wine and dine them, and blind them with power and influence. The only possible result can be the tyranny of a powerless electorate stripped of their rights, property and self-determination.

Mayors across the nation must be clued in to the fact that ICLEI and its ilk are not their friends, rather they are the purveyors of a self-made crisis hysteria using fear rather than truth and logic to impose their agenda. 

ABSOLUTES....!

Ten Simple Truths About Oil

By Alan Caruba

Having written about the energy industry and issues now for a long time, I hope I can be forgiven for being enraged by the comments by Sen. Charles Schumer (D-NY) in response to President Bush's press conference Tuesday morning. There is simply no way to describe them other than false.

The Democrat Party has long made "Big Oil" their favorite punching bag, confident that the public has no idea what influences the price and supply of oil. Saying anything favorable to Big Oil is immediately deemed evidence that one is in their pay and whatever facts are offered are therefore invalid.

There are, however, some simple truths about Big Oil that cannot and should not be ignored. To do so leaves everyone at the mercy of energy policies that have created the situation in which the United States finds itself today.

Fact #1: The combined ownership of oil reserves by the independent, investor-owned oil companies such as ExxonMobil, ConocoPhillips, BP, Chevron and others is barely 4% of the total known oil reserves in the world. By itself, ExxonMobil's share is 1.08%.

Fact #2: Oil is a global commodity sold on mercantile exchanges for whatever price it can command. Speculation in oil prices is the primary reason they have been driven to utterly insane costs per barrel. It has nothing to do with actual supply and demand.

Fact #3: No nation on Earth is or can be "energy independent." The geopolitics of oil is complex, but as nations such as China and India have seen their economies grow, their need for oil grows with it and thus they compete with long established industrialized nations for existing oil supplies. This competition has an impact on prices.

Fact #4: The OPEC nations, those in the Middle East and including Venezuela, control 77% of the world's known oil reserves. Like Russia and Mexico, where the oil industry is controlled by the state, it is generally poorly managed. Several Big Oil companies that were induced to undertake exploration and development in Russia and Venezuela actually had their assets nationalized or stolen at prices well below their investment and value.

Fact #5: Energy is the master resource. All nations with any hope of growing their economies require it, mostly in the form of electricity, but also for oil's role in transportation. The failure to have a national long-range energy policy that is based in reality can severely impact energy prices.

Fact #6: The United States has, for years, pursued an energy policy based on environmental myths such as "biofuels" in which corn is turned into ethanol to reduce the import of oil, but it costs as much to produce ethanol as to refine oil and it provides less mileage per gallon, thus negating any reason for this additive. Likewise, suggesting that wind or solar energy can generate anything more than its current 1% of the nation's electricity needs ignores their unreliability and the fact they are heavily subsidized, a form of hidden consumer tax.

Fact #7: It costs billions to explore, discover, extract and transport oil. It takes lots of lead-time as well. The United States Congress has, for decades, refused to permit the extraction of vast oil reserves in ANWR despite the fact it would have little or no impact on the Alaskan wildlife reserve. In addition, Congress has declared 85% percent of the nation's coastal, offshore areas off-limits to any exploration for oil or natural gas.

Fact #8: The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, under the mandate of Congress, requires Big Oil to refine oil into some seventeen different formulations in the name of clean air. With three grades of gasoline, that means that refiners must produce some 45 different blends. The quality of air in America is excellent, but the cost of gasoline at the pump continues to rise as the result of these mandates.

Fact #9: America imports two-thirds of the oil it uses. All of its transportation runs on oil. The population continues to grow. Failure to encourage the construction of a single new refinery since the 1970s puts a further strain on the ability of Big Oil to provide the nation's oil and diesel fuel needs.

Fact #10: Democrats continue to demand that Big Oil's profits be confiscated in some fashion and some of the inducements offered to explore for more oil be ended. Because the costs of exploration, extraction, refining, and transporting of oil represents billions, the actual profit margin of a company like ExxonMobil is about 10%, well below what industries such as pharmaceuticals and banking enjoy.

For these and many other reasons, Americans are being impoverished at the gas pump because Congress has dithered and failed in one of its most important responsibilities.

Alan Caruba writes a weekly column posted on the Internet site of The National Anxiety Center, www.anxietycenter.com. He blogs at <http://factsnotfantasy.blogspot.com>.



ABSOLUTES....!

The Elders of the Global Village

Here's one you've got to check out www.theelders.org. A tip of the hat to my friend Pat Wood of August Review (www.augustreview.com) for alerting us to this one.

We've warned of a coming global governance, the global village where "it takes a village to raise a child," where "we are all in this together." "Right wing paranoia," says the news media. "No such plans," say the politicians. Somebody forgot to tell Jimmy Carter.

Did anyone elect these guys to be our global parents? In fact, didn't we vote them off the island already?

By Patrick Wood – The August Review

"We are moving to a global village and yet we don't have our global elders. The Elders can be a group who have the trust of the world, who can speak freely, be fiercely independent and respond fast and flexibly in conflict situations." -- www.theelders.org

The Elders have arrived on the world scene. Thus far, there are 12 self-appointed "apostles" of globalism to manage the "global village." This group represents the cream of the globalist crop.

1. **Nelson Mandela** – Former president of South Africa
2. **Desmond Tutu** – Former general secretary of the South African Council of Churches
3. **Ela Bhatt** – Founder of SEWA (Self-Employed Women's Association) in India
4. **Gro Brundtland** – Former chair of the World Commission of Environment and Development (the Brundtland Commission), and driving force behind Sustain-able Development
5. **Jimmy Carter** – Former president of the United States
6. **Muhammad Yunus** – founder of Grameen Bank
7. **Graca Machel** – President of Foundation for Community Development in Mozambique
8. **Kofi Annan** – Former Secretary-General of the United Nations
9. **Lakhdar Brahimi** – Former Under-Secretary General of the United Nations
10. **Fernando H. Cardoso** – Former President of Brazil
11. **Mary Robinson** – Former President of Ireland; former United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
12. **Aung San Suu Kyi** – Freedom fighter and figurehead leader in Burma since 1988

Initial funding was secured from global players such as Richard Branson, Peter Gabriel, Humanity United, Tick Tarlow, the United Nations Foundations, and others.

The original idea behind The Elders came from British musical icon, Peter Gabriel.

The current Executive Director of The Elders is **Dr. Robert A. Pastor** (surprised?), who is also known as the "father of the North American Union" because of his tireless work to unite Mexico, Canada and the United States into a common block similar to the European Union.

Pastor has a very long association with Jimmy Carter dating back to the 1970's, and with other members of the Trilateral Commission. For instance, he was the executive director of the infamous Linowitz Commission that produced the policy blueprint for Carter to give away the U.S.-owned Panama Canal during Carter's presidency.

The Linowitz Commission consisted of eight members, seven of which were members of the (Cont'd on Page 8)

...THESE THINGS REALLY ARE HAPPENING!

A Letter from One 'Angry Woman'

I don't know who wrote this, apparently a housewife from New Jersey; it was forwarded to me and it should have been signed. But, alas, it is anonymous. In any case, it is enormously powerful and represents the views of not only Christians, but other religions, including more than a few Muslims. This woman should run for office. Talk about "straight talk," this is it! It may even have you cheering midway through.

Here it is:

Are we fighting a war on terror or aren't we? Was it or was it not started by Islamic people who brought it to our shores on September 11, 2001?

Were people from all over the world, mostly Americans, not brutally murdered that day, in downtown Manhattan, across the Potomac from our nation's capitol and in a field in Pennsylvania?

Did nearly three thousand men, women and children die a horrible, burning or crushing death that day, or didn't they?

And I'm supposed to care that a copy of the Koran was 'desecrated' when an overworked American soldier kicked it or got it wet?... Well, I don't. I don't care at all.

I'll start caring when Osama bin Laden turns himself in and repents for incinerating all those innocent people on 9/11.

I'll care about the Koran when the fanatics in the Middle East start caring about the Holy Bible, the mere possession of which is a crime in Saudi Arabia

I'll care when these thugs tell the world they are sorry for chopping off Nick Berg's head while Berg screamed through his gurgling slashed throat.

I'll care when the cowardly so-called 'insurgents' in Iraq come out and fight like men instead of disrespecting their own religion by hiding in mosques.

I'll care when the mindless zealots who blow themselves up in search of nirvana care about the innocent children within range of their suicide.

I'll care when the American media stops pretending that their First Amendment liberties are somehow derived from international law instead of the United States Constitution's Bill of Rights.

In the meantime, when I hear a story about a brave marine roughing up an Iraqi terrorist to obtain information, know this: I don't care.

When I see a fuzzy photo of a pile of naked Iraqi prisoners who have been humiliated in what amounts to a college-hazing incident, rest assured: I don't care.

When I see a wounded terrorist get shot in the head when he is told not to move because he might be booby-trapped, you can take it to the bank: I don't care...

When I hear that a prisoner, who was issued a Koran and a prayer mat, and fed 'special' food that is paid for by my tax dollars, is complaining that his holy book is being 'mishandled,' you can absolutely believe in your heart of hearts: I don't care.

And oh, by the way, I've noticed that sometimes it's spelled 'Koran' and other times 'Quran.' Well, Jimmy Crack Corn and-you guessed it-I don't care!!

One last thought for the day:

In case we find ourselves starting to believe all the Anti-American sentiment and negativity, we should remember England's Prime Minister Tony Blair's words during a recent interview. When asked by one of his Parliament members why he believes so much in America, he said: "A simple way to take measure of a country is to look at how many want in, and how many want out." -- *By Anonymous*



ELDERS.... (Cont'd from pg 6)

Trilateral Commission. The temporary ambassador/negotiator to Panama was Commissioner Sol Linowitz.

Despite humanity's dark history of corruption and war, Elder Desmond Tutu not only disagrees but also believes that he is held in such high regard so as to qualify himself for global eldership...

"Despite all the ghastliness that is around, human beings are made for goodness. The ones who ought to be held in high regard are not the ones who are militarily powerful, nor even economically prosperous. They are the ones who have a commitment to try and make the world a better place. We - The Elders - will endeavor to support those people and do our best for humanity." - *Desmond Tutu*, www.theelders.org

In case you are thinking this is a spoof, forget it. Go to their website and check it out for yourself!

The Elders apparently assume that most, if not all, of the world they serve will be under Marxist control because their members are decidedly pro-Marxist.

When a pro-Marxist group tells you that they are going to do what's good for humanity, that's reason enough for you to fortify your home and build a perimeter. After all, well over 100 million people died in the last century because they didn't go along with what their Marxist leaders conjured up for them.

Indeed, Jimmy Carter and Robert Pastor both show their true colors by their association with The Elders. Globalist ego seems to know no bounds.

Patrick Wood is the Editor of The August Review, an exclusive publication of World Research Library (WRL). For more information visit www.augustreview.com.



WEATHERMEN.... (Cont'd from pg 12) *a gun, a Molotov, a riot, a commune... and from the soul of the people."*

underground to foster direct violence against the state. They then became known as the "Weather Underground."

During their reign of terror, the Weather Underground bombed corporate headquarters, burned ROTC buildings on college campuses, and even planted a bomb in the US Capitol building. They used anti-personnel bombs filled with nails, staples and other shrapnel designed to hurt and kill people. Several of those bombs were planted in police stations resulting in the murder of Police Sgt. Brian McDonnell in San Francisco; another officer was permanently maimed and two others were injured in that attack. A police informant, Larry Grathwohl, who working inside the Weather Underground, reported that Bill Ayres planned the bombing and Bernadine Dohrn planted it. There were more such bombings in other cities. Later, Mark Rudd was the sole survivor of a bomb explosion that went off as he was building it in a Weather Underground safe house in New York. That bomb and more were to be placed in a dance hall at the Fort Dix Army base. They would have killed hundreds of soldiers and their dates.

As they engaged in their revolution, the Underground would, from time to time issue "Communiques," much like Osama Bin Laden does today, to send messages to followers. In "*Communique #1 From the Weather Underground*," it reads, in part, "*Hello. This is Bernadine Dohrn. I'm going to read A DECLARATION OF A STATE OF WAR*" (emphasis hers). In the document she warned, "*Within the next fourteen days we will attack a symbol or institution of Amerikan injustice.*" It was issued on May 21, 1970.

On June 9, 1970, came "*Communique #2 From The Weather Underground.*" It reported, "*Tonight at 7 PM, we blew up the NYC police headquarters... The pigs in this country are our enemies... The time is now. Political power grows out of*

There's much more to the history of violence and revolution pulled off or attempted by Ayres, Rudd, Dohrn and Jones (and others in their clan). But these examples should give anyone enough of an idea as to their dedication to destroying America.

But what does that have to do with today? And how does it connect to Barack Obama? The bad boys and girls of the Sixties like to portray themselves as just some college kids that got a little carried away. It's in the past, says the news media. It has nothing to do with today - or Barack Obama, say his supporters.

It is vital that Americans understand that these were dedicated revolutionaries determined to destroy America, by violence if necessary. They used every means possible to recruit America's youth into their revolt. They marched in the street, chanted pro Mao slogans, started riots, disrupted schools, burned college buildings, and eventually bombed symbols of the American establishment they hated, resulting in the deaths and maiming of police officers sworn to protect it.

These were not just over-active college kids. The agenda they followed sought to destroy every aspect of American life. They hated private property and wanted it all redistributed with no ownership - like the communes they chose to live on. They hated free enterprise and wanted all business run by the workers - no bosses, no owners. The only private business they would tolerate were those run by individuals that hired no one. They knew to achieve these things they had to start by changing the history taught to a young generation in the schools. They hated religion and wanted to run it out of the country. They hated the family unit, saying it subjugated women, who should be liberated. They sought to build divides between the rich (Cont'd on Page 9)

WEATHERMEN.... (Cont'd from pg 8) and the poor, creating a class struggle in America that really didn't exist before. And they didn't hesitate to use violence to achieve their goals.

When the violence failed, the Weatherman core and their followers didn't give up or fade away. They remained underground in a new way. They melded into society, they took teaching positions in college to reach that younger generation. They took jobs in the media to take control of its message. They worked their way up in the hated corporations to gain control of policy. And they surged into government at all levels, boring into the core of America, to impose their agenda at every chance, from the Federal government to state legislatures to city councils. Today, for example, we have Congressman Bobby Seal, one of the infamous Chicago Eight; State Senator Tom Haydon, the founder of the SDS and another of the Chicago Eight.

It's interesting to note that a great number of the members of the "revolution" went into the environmental movement. Unable to get Americans to outright accept Marxist ideology in their revolution, instead they wrapped it all in a nice green blanket of environmental protection. Ever since, under the banner of environmental protection Americans have happily tossed their liberties on the bon fire like a good old-fashioned book burning. They accepted the premise that private property and business must be controlled or destroyed, simply for the good of the environment. It's not just a happy coincidence. In this way, the revolution of the sixties is now progressing at a rapid pace.

And what of Ayres, Dorn, Rudd and Jones?

Ayres took the route into education as a professor. But that certainly hasn't replaced his activism for the cause of communism. Recently he traveled to the new red Mecca, Venezuela, a nation

quickly falling behind a new red curtain of tyranny under Hugo Chavez. Ayres is influential enough with the new American-hating dictator to meet with him and appear on the same platform. There, Ayres proclaimed his support for "*the profound educational reforms underway here in Venezuela under the leadership of President Chavez. We share this belief that education is the motor-force of revolution... I look forward to seeing how you continue to overcome the failings of capitalist education as you seek to create something truly new and deeply humane...*" Does it sound like Ayres has changed a single stripe from his "college activist" days?

Mark Rudd also went into education. He feels at home there, after all, he is the man who shut down Columbia University with a student strike in the Sixties. And he is still active in the cause. Recently, he turned up making comments on a radical blog called Rag Blog, where he attempted to calm nervous "progressives" (a new euphemism coined a few years back to provide cover for those who didn't want to be called communists). The "Progressives were growing nervous by the cabinet appointments Obama has been making. These people are so radical that they actually consider Hillary Clinton to be from the right! Of course keeping a bunch of old Clintonistas, not to mention a Bush holdover like Secretary of Defense Gates, has caused great concern for those who thought Obama was the answer to the revolution. Said Rudd, the Obama appointments are part of a deliberate strategy to "*feint to the right*" and "*move left.*" He said, "*Any other strategy invites sure defeat.*" Rudd, to be sure, wants Obama to be victorious in his goals. Now why would that be? Rudd is a dedicated communist, yesterday, today and tomorrow, seeking to destroy the American way of life.

Jones is now a political consultant and a dedicated environmentalist. One of his clients is the Natural Resources

Defense Council, a radical environmental group made up of some of the most radical and most vicious lawyers ever assembled. Some on Capitol Hill have called them a street gang. They are revolutionaries in suits. They intimidate companies with their lawsuits and delight in suing the government to get their way. Their lawsuits help stop the drilling of American oil and American logging, and more. And when they win, they fill their coffers with taxpayer money as reimbursement for their legal costs. It's the proper place for a former underground terrorist.

Dorn is Ayres' wife. They went underground together in the old days of the revolution. Today she continues to spread her brand of revolution by reaching into the community of families as a clinical law professor and director of the Children and Family Justice Center at Northwestern University. She forces children's rights today to create tomorrow's revolutionaries.

Still, what is the Obama connection to these dedicated revolutionaries? It's perhaps ironic that all four former Weatherman terrorists today work through an organization called "Movement for a Democratic Society." That organization is the parent to another one called "Progressives for Obama." They raised funds for Obama, they promoted his candidacy, and they helped to recruit activists to support him.

In the past forty years, Ayres, Dorn, Rudd, and Jones have not been heard from in the mainstream media. They have not been an issue in a presidential election. They have not openly promoted or supported a candidate, snubbing even John Kerry and Bill and Hillary Clinton as not being revolutionary enough for their agenda for the destruction of America. Until now – until Barack Obama. These four are dedicated Marxist revolutionaries. Why now? Why Obama? You don't need a Weatherman to know why.

INSIDER'S REPORT

USDA Memo Mandates NAIS Premises Registration But Agency and Big Ag Still Deny Their Plans

As part of the USDA's ongoing pattern of misleading tactics, the agency and industry organizations are backing away from an internal USDA memo that outlines how to force registration of real estate holding livestock, horses, or poultry under USDA's National Animal Identification System (NAIS). The USDA memo, issued on September 22, 2008, dictates the procedure by which state agencies shall register animal owners' properties despite the owners' objections, if the owners refuse to voluntarily register.

"The memo not only calls for mandatory registrations, but for branding individuals as 'dissenters,'" notes Col. (Ret.) Randy Givens, a founder of the Liberty Ark Coalition (LAC), an alliance formed to fight NAIS. "The USDA's document states that people who refuse to 'voluntarily' register their property will not only be involuntarily assigned a registration number, but will also be assigned a special code that designates their refusal to 'volunteer.'"

Under NAIS, the premises registrations are gathered into a massive national database. Individual animals will each be tagged, using mostly microchip devices, and animal owners, even those with pets, will have to report to the government whenever they buy or sell animals, or animals die, or they take the animal off their property for events such as trail rides or shows. Most independent farmers and pet owners of livestock or horses have objected to the extensive costs and government intrusion of the system. Industrial agriculture operations, which will be able to avoid individual tagging by using group identification, support NAIS.

USDA's 2005 plan for NAIS called for it to become mandatory by 2009. However, in response to widespread protests by animal owners, USDA announced in 2007 that the program would be "voluntary at the federal level."

NAIS 101

Industrial agriculture and technology companies are urging the government to adopt a program that will drive many small farms out of business, burden horse owners, invade our privacy, increase the cost of meat, and expand the government bureaucracy.

If the National Animal Identification System (NAIS) is made mandatory, anyone who owns even **one** horse, chicken, cow, pig, sheep, goat, or any other livestock or exotic, will have to:

- Register their property with the state and federal government;
- Identify each animal, in most cases with electronic identification;
- Report events to a government-accessible database within 24 hours, including every dead or missing animal, private sales, and regional shows.

NAIS will:

- violate individuals' Constitutional rights, including freedom of religion and right to privacy;
- reduce the availability of local, organic, and grass-fed foods;
- raise the cost of food, because tagging and tracking costs will be passed on to consumers;
- create a massive government bureaucracy.

NAIS will not:

- make food safer since most food-borne illnesses, such as e-coli and salmonella, are due to food processing and handling practices - not live animals;
- protect us against bioterrorism - the proposed microchips and radio tags are easily reprogrammed and large databases are easy targets for terrorists or other criminals.

NAIS is both a federal and a state issue:

- The USDA says that the NAIS is voluntary at the federal level. But, USDA is funding the States to implement NAIS at the state level, and that funding creates incentives for States to use mandatory, misleading, or coercive methods in order to increase participation. And, Congress is considering putting NAIS in bills to fund and implement NAIS.

That change in strategy moved implementation of the mandatory NAIS down to the states, allowing USDA to disclaim responsibility. Since then, many states have been using federal guidelines and funding, under Cooperative Agreements, to implement mandatory or coercive NAIS programs.

Given USDA's past actions in pushing NAIS despite objections, the memo was an unwelcome, but not surprising, development to animal owners and activists. "It's been clear to us for some time that USDA planned to use existing disease programs to register citizens' property," stated Karin Bergener, a horse owner and Steering Committee member of the LAC. According to Bergener, "At an industry conference last year, several state agriculture officials discussed their plans to force premises registrations and conversion to NAIS-compliant microchip tags. The September USDA memo just puts this plan into writing."

After two groups, the LAC and the Farm and Ranch Freedom Alliance (FARFA), made the memo public on November 1, concerned animal owners promptly started calling their state departments of agriculture to inquire what it meant. In response, USDA and pro-NAIS industry organizations such as the American Horse Council (AHC) have launched a campaign to re-cast the memo.

"This is the usual response; they are denying the plain meaning of the memo," says Judith McGeary, Executive Director of FARFA and herself a small farmer. "In a recent letter, AHC declared that anti-NAIS activists did not understand the memo and that the memo was discussing plans for some unspecified time in the future," says McGeary. "But the memo was issued by the agency, written in the present tense, with no caveats or limitations."

Some USDA regional officials have stated that they haven't even read the memo. Some state agriculture officials have said they do not plan to enforce it, while one state agency has said it will be enforced only for programs paid for by the USDA. McGeary questions, "What would they have done if activists hadn't publicized the memo? The agencies' pattern is to push NAIS behind closed doors, and to try to discredit any opposition by disclaiming the agencies' own written plans once revealed. The agencies and businesses that will profit from NAIS are determined to push the program through by whatever means they can find. It's past time for Congress and State Legislatures to rein in these rogue agencies."

For further information about NAIS, visit and support www.libertyark.net



RENEWED BATTLE OVER ILLEGAL AMNESTY BUILDING

The radical leadership of the new Congress, intent on ignoring the will of the American people, are gearing up for a renewed battle to force an amnesty for illegal immigrants. However, it won't happen during the first 100 days of the 111th Congress.

Harry Reid, the Senate Majority Leader, said the first one hundred days will be reserved for confirmations of the Obama gang, appropriations of our tax dollars, and the repeal of several of George Bush's executive orders. Reid and his band intend to erase any memory of the last eight years.

Then, after all is in place, Reid and his wild bunch plan government-a-go-go with no area of American life to go untouched by government hands. First up will be amnesty for the Democrats exciting new voting block –illegals who have ignored our laws and decided to just move in to American neighborhoods and do those jobs Americans just can't be counted on to do. At least that's the mantra we've been force-fed for years.

Apparently, as Reid prepares for this assault, he has no concern over whether Americans want the Amnesty or not. He told a Gannett News Service reporter, "I don't expect much of a fight at all." That confidence may be a result of the post-election meeting between Barack Obama and Senator John McCain. McCain, who campaigned on a "get tough" immigration policy, seemed to immediately resort to his pre-campaign days when he was the champion of illegal amnesty. McCain assured Obama that he could be counted on to help herd the hated amnesty through the Senate. Yep, Reid is the trail boss and McCain is the Judas Goat to lead us all to the slaughter.

Action to take: Never stop calling Congress to let them know you will not back down. NO ILLEGAL AMNESTY – NOT NOW – NOT EVER!



SPOTLIGHT ON TYRANNY



Barack Obama and The Weathermen



By Tom DeWeese

Since just before the election of Barack Obama in November, it has been interesting and quite shocking that a forty-year old, seemingly forgotten radical group called “Weatherman” is getting so much attention. Of course, Obama denies any connection to old Weathermen. Here’s a quick history of the “Weathermen” and why it’s relevant to a new president calling for an undefined “change.”

You’ve heard the famous names: Bill Ayres, Mark Rudd, Bernadine Dohrn and Jeff Jones, among others. Today, Ayres describes himself as a professor; Dohrn is his wife and a clinical law professor, Jeff Jones, predictably is an environmentalist and political consultant, and Mark Rudd is now a teacher. Just normal Americans, living their lives. Really?

In 1962, Students for a Democratic Society (SDS) was born. It was a radical organization of college students. SDS quickly became the opposition to the Viet Nam War. They organized demonstrations on college campuses across the nation to mobilize students to take “direct action” against “racism, poverty and war.” In 1963, SDS got involved in “community organizing,” teaming up with the Black Panthers, the Hispanic Young Lords, and other radical organizations.

By 1966, SDS was moving in a revolutionary Marxist direction. Their demonstrations and marches became violent clashes with police, many turning into riots. About the same time, SDS was joined by the Progressive Labor Party (PL), a self-styled Marxist-Leninist-Maoist party, dedicated to implementing communist ideology.

By 1969, a majority of SDS found the PL’s strict Marxist ideology too restraining, hurting organizing and recruiting efforts. At the same time, SDS leaders were looking for a more long-term agenda to bring about a communist revolution in the United States. Simply fighting the war was too limiting. In June 1969, SDS held a raucous convention in which the PL was tossed out of SDS and a new faction took control. That faction was called “Weatherman.” It issued a long, rambling manifesto detailing the future direction of

the movement. The document was entitled “You Don’t Need A Weatherman To Know Which Way The Wind Blows.” The title was taken from a Bob Dylan song.

The manifesto detailed Weatherman ideology and the means to create a Marxist revolution in “Amerika.” Some of its chapter titles include: “*The Struggle for Socialist Self-Determination;*” “*Black Liberation Means Revolution;*” “*Anti-Imperialist Revolution and The United Front;*” “*The Revolutionary Youth Movement – Class Analysis;*” and “*Repression and Revolution.*” The document called for a class war against America’s free market society. It talked of joining up with Marxist revolutions around the world, in China, in Cuba, and more. It called for the creation of a “Revolutionary Party.” Above all, it called for war against what Weatherman called “Amerika.”

Why is that significant today? Because the authors of the document were the leaders of Weatherman – Mark Rudd, Bill Ayres, Bernadine Dohrn, Jeff Jones, and others. Weatherman’s first public act was what it called “Days of Rage.” It called on students to leave their classrooms and engage in three days of violence and street demonstrations. They smashed windows of businesses and cars, and attacked police lines. Mark Rudd himself was arrested in Chicago while leading the violence. The result of the three days of violence was 287 people arrested, 800 automobiles and 600 windows were smashed. The combined bail was over \$2 million.

In spite of the damage, Weatherman was disappointed with the turn out of demonstrators. They had hoped to bring thousands to the streets, rather than the three to five hundred who turned out. Mark Rudd and the other Weathermen concluded that white people weren’t ready to engage in revolution, as did their “black brothers” in the Black Panthers. To win, decided the Weathermen, whites had to share some of the cost of revolution by “picking up the gun.” To not do so was racist, they believed.

That decision led Weatherman leaders Ayres, Dohrn, Rudd and Jones to make the decision to declare war on “Imperialist Amerika” by going (Cont’d on Page 8)